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Abstract:

Assessment is broadly defined as the process of collecting and interpreting information that can be used to inform teachers, students, and, when applicable, parents/guardians or other users of assessment information, about students’ progress in attaining the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and behaviours to be learned or acquired in school.

Summative assessment is an integral part of assessment. Without sound assessment practices, we may not know if students are progressing as planned. Further, we may not be able to effectively plan for students’ future learning opportunities. A summative assessment determines the final mark the student receives, e.g. an essay, or traditional examination.

Item statistics are used to assess the performance of individual test items on the assumption that the overall quality of a test derives from the quality of its items. Item analysis is a process which examines student responses to individual test items (questions) in order to assess the quality of those items and of the test as a whole. Item analysis is specially valuable in improving items which will be used again in later tests, but it can also be used to eliminate ambiguous or misleading items in a single test administration. In addition, item analysis is valuable for increasing instructors’ skills in test construction, and identifying specific areas of course content which need greater emphasis or clarity.
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**PURPOSE AND FORMS OF ASSESSMENT**

Assessment can serve different purposes and the method you choose will depend on its purpose. One assessment task may fulfil more than one function:

*Formative assessment* is designed to help learners learn more effectively through giving them feedback on their performance indicating how it can be improved.

A formative assessment might, for example, take the form of an in-class test or an essay which is marked but does not count towards the final marks given for a course.

*Diagnostic assessment* is used to show a learner's preparedness for a unit or programme of study and identifies any potential gaps in knowledge, skills and understanding expected at the start of study, or any other problems. Diagnostic assessment is considered also as a common form of formative assessment.

*Summative assessment* is used to indicate the extent of a learner's success in meeting the intended learning outcomes of a unit of study or programme. A summative assessment determines the final mark the student receives, e.g. an essay, or traditional examination.

The main types of summative assessment are two: traditional – discreet and continued.

Summative discreet assessment has traditionally been carried out through pen and paper tests and examinations at the end of:

- a teaching class,
- a teaching unit/chapter,
- a term/semester,
- a school year.
Summative assessments include also national exams/assessments as high-stakes of summative assessments. Such summative assessment has been conceptualized as a discrete and separate stage added to the end of the learning and teaching cycle. Apart of above discrete traditional conception is developed continued assessment that mean you would never be graded on just one exam.

**TYPES OF SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT**

Summative Assessment can be objective or subjective. Objective assessment is a form of questioning which has a single correct answer. Types of question include objective questions: true/false, multiple choice, and matching questions. Subjective assessment is a form of questioning which may have more than one current answer (or more than one way of expressing the correct answer). Types of question include subjective questions: extended-response questions and essays.

**Cultural and linguistic aspects of summative assessments**

Cultural and linguistic aspects should be high priority in high-stakes summative assessments. They should be also priority of other summative classroom assessments. These aspect are related to cultural and linguistic diversity of students. Classroom assessment practices should be responsive to and respectful of the cultural and linguistic diversity of students and their communities.

Assessment practices should be appropriate for students who represent the diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds present in schools. For example, a student’s success in responding to a teacher’s oral question, or answering questions on a test should not be unfairly hampered by her/his lack of cultural background, knowledge, or tradition. If cultural and
linguistic backgrounds are ignored, students may become alienated or disengaged from learning and the assessment process. Teachers need to be aware of how such backgrounds may impact students’ learning and performance. Teachers should be ready to adjust their assessment practices where needed to ensure students have adequate opportunities to demonstrate what they know and can do (e.g., extra time; dictionaries).

**Test grid**
A test grid consists of a topic dimension and a cognitive reasoning dimension.

The procedure for creating such a table is as follows:
(a) Identify topics to be assessed and their relative emphases in percentages (defining the rows),
(b) identify cognitive skills expected and their relative emphases in percent-ages (defining the columns),
(c) decide the total points for the summative assessment,
(d) calculate the cell values by multiplying total points by combined relative emphases, and
(e) make adjustment to cell values and ensure that the total of cell values is equal to the total summative assessment points.

### TABLE 1.1 A Sample Test Grid with Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Remembering</th>
<th>Understanding</th>
<th>Applying</th>
<th>Subtotal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topic 1</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topic 2</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topic 3</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topic 4</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topic 5</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subtotal points</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A test grid with values like Table 1.1 indicates two important aspects about the assessment domain: (a) what to assess (the intersections between rows and columns) and (b) how much emphasis there is for each combination in the assessment (the
cell values). In the example of Table 1.1, we see that the assessment will cover five topics: topic 1, topic 2, topic 3, topic 4, topic 5; the above topics of content involve three cognitive reasoning skills: remembering, understanding, and applying. The cell values are determined by the product between weights of the corresponding topic and skill and the total points of the summative assessment.

**Question type**

Once the assessment domain is defined in the form of a test grid with values, the next step to plan the summative assessment is to decide the assessment format and question type.

Once you have decided on assessment formats and question types, you can then operationalize the test grid with values into a test grid with items. Table 1.2 shows a sample test grid with items based on the test grid in Table 1.1.

From Table 1.2, we see that the summative assessment will include two tests (i.e., paper-and-pencil and performance tests). The paper-and-pencil test will include multiple-choice questions for assessing remembering and constructed-response questions for assessing understanding. The performance assessment will test students’ ability to apply their knowledge of all the topics to conduct two performance tasks. We also see from Table 1.2 that the summative assessment will include 35 questions, among which 26 are multiple-choice questions, 7 constructed-response questions, and 2 performance tasks. The distribution of the questions is also indicated in the cells of the table. Relating cells of Table 1.2 to those of Table 1.1, we see that each multiple-choice question will have 1 point, each constructed-response question will have 2 or 3 points, and each performance assessment will have more than 1 point (such as 5 points for each task).
TABLE 1.2 A Sample Test Grid With Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Items</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Remembering</th>
<th>Understanding</th>
<th>Applying</th>
<th>Subtotal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Points</td>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple Choice</td>
<td>Constructed Response</td>
<td>Performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topic 1</td>
<td>5(5)</td>
<td>2(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topic 2</td>
<td>8(8)</td>
<td>2(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topic 3</td>
<td>4(4)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topic 4</td>
<td>5(5)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topic 5</td>
<td>4(4)</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>26(26)</td>
<td>7(7)</td>
<td>2(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>35(50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once a test grid with items is created, the next steps in developing a summative assessment are to write test questions and develop performance assessment by using the test grid with items as a guide.

**How many items is enough?**
This is entirely dependent on the depth and breadth of your goals and objectives.

There is a general rule is that ten items are needed to assess knowledge learning targets that encompass a unit. However in many instances as few as five items can provide good assessment.

When reasoning, performance, and other skills are being assessed we can usually only use a few items, and sometimes only one item, because they take so much time.

**Number and Length of Assessments**
Be sure to allocate a sufficient amount of time for completion of your test or quiz. Too much time is better than not enough time.

Many short assessments can provide a more accurate representation of what a student knows than one long assessment.

Be sure to consider the age of the students, the length of the class, the subject matter, and the type of items used.
**Item Bias**

Items for which equally able persons from different cultural groups have different probabilities of success.

Differences in item difficulty, item discrimination, etc indicate different response patterns. Differentiation may indicate that the test items are not measuring the same construct for each group.

**Item Bias guidelines**

Revise or remove assessments or assessment items and tasks that promote stereotypes. Revise or remove assessments that may unfairly impact the performance of individuals or groups of students. Avoid language that is overly confusing or complex thus assessing unintended skills. Avoid assessment topics that may disturb or be too sensitive for students unless there is a prescribed requirement to assess these topics. Minimize all irrelevant factors that may affect the judging and scoring of student performance:

1. stylistic factors such as handwriting, vocabulary or sentence structure when the intent of a written assessment is to assess content and thinking alone;
2. teacher bias that may result in a general tendency to be too generous or too severe; and
3. the effect, where a general impression or previous rating influences the present rating.

**PRINCIPLES OF ASSESSMENT**

Effective assessment depends on two main principles:

- validity,
- reliability

**Validity** refers to whether the assessment measures what it is supposed to, is aligned with learning outcomes and proportionate in volume.
For example, it would not be valid to assess driving skills through a written test alone. A more valid way of assessing driving skills would be through a combination of tests that help determine what a driver knows, such as through a written test of driving knowledge, and what a driver is able to do, such as through a performance assessment of actual driving.

Teachers frequently complain that some examinations do not properly assess the syllabus upon which the examination is based; they are, effectively, questioning the validity of the exam.

**Reliability** refers to the accuracy, consistency and repeatability of the assessment. A key component of reliability of assessment is consistency of marking. Discuss and agree your approach with the other markers before you commence. Set down the criteria for marking and use a standard –well structured marking sheet. A fully structured marking scheme allocates a portion of marks to each of items/questions/criteria to be considered by the marker. Reliability in some cases is much depended on teaching subject. A history test written for high reliability will be entirely multiple choice. It isn't as good at measuring knowledge of history, but can easily be scored with great precision.

**ITEM / TEST ANALYSES**

**Item Analyses**
The purpose is to obtain more info on each item in order to determine the retention, deletion, or revision of items.
Two possible methods for analyzing items:
–Item Difficulty
–Item Discrimination
Item Difficulty

Difficulty of a question measures by dividing the number of correct responses by the total number of responses. It ranges from 0 to 1 (0 being very difficult – with no one getting the answer correct and 1 meaning that all test-takers responded correctly) and can be calculated using the following formula:

\[ D = \frac{R}{N} \]

- \( D \) = Difficulty
- \( R \) = the number of candidates who gave the correct response to the question
- \( N \) = total number of candidates

Recommended values for D vary.

Examples of acceptable parameters found in the literature are
- 0.2 to 0.9;
- 0.4 to 0.85 and
- 0.3 to 0.7

Example:

Let's consider you gave a multiple choice test and there were four answer choices (A, B, C, and D). The following table illustrates how many students selected each answer choice for Question #1 and #2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>12*</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Denotes correct answer.

For Question #1, we can see that A was not a very good distractor -- no one selected that answer. We can also compute the difficulty of the item by dividing the number of students who choose the correct answer (24) by the number of total students (30). Using this formula, the difficulty of Question #1 (referred to as p) is equal to 24/30 or .80. A general rule is that if the item difficulty is more than .75, it is an easy item; if the difficulty is below .25, it is a difficult item. Given these
parameters, this item could be regarded moderately easy -- lots (80%) of students got it correct.

Question #2 is much more difficult (12/30 = .40). In fact, on Question #2, more students selected an incorrect answer (B) than selected the correct answer (A). This item should be carefully analyzed to ensure that B is an appropriate distractor.

**Item discrimination**

Another measure, the *Discrimination Index*, refers to how well an assessment differentiates between high and low scorers. In other words, you should be able to expect that the high-performing students would select the correct answer for each question more often than the low-performing students.

If this is true, then the assessment is said to have a *positive discrimination index* (between 0 and 1) -- indicating that students who received a high total score chose the correct answer for a specific item more often than the students who had a lower overall score. If, however, you find that more of the low-performing students got a specific item correct, then the item has a *negative discrimination index* (between -1 and 0).

**Method I**

\[
D = \frac{(H-L)}{N}
\]

*\(D\) = discrimination\n
*\(H\) = number of correct responses to question by the top half of test scorers\n
*\(L\) = number of correct responses to question by bottom half of test scorers\n
*\(N\) = number of students in the sub-group

**Example**

Table 2.1 displays the results of ten questions on a quiz. Note that the students are arranged with the top overall scorers at the top of the table.
Table 2.1 results of ten questions on a test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Total Score (%)</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"1" indicates the answer was correct; "0" indicates it was incorrect.

Follow these steps to determine the Difficulty Index and the Discrimination Index.

1. After the students are arranged with the highest overall scores at the top, count the number of students in the upper and lower group who got each item correct. For Question #1, there were 4 students in the top half who got it correct, and 4 students in the bottom half.

2. Determine the Difficulty Index by dividing the number who got it correct by the total number of students. For Question #1, this would be 8/10 or p=.80.

3. Determine the Discrimination Index by subtracting the number of students in the lower group who got the item correct from the number of students in the upper group who got the item correct. Then, divide by the number of students in each group (in this case, there are five in each group). For Question #1, that means you would subtract 4 from 4, and divide by 5, which results in a Discrimination Index of 0.

4. The answers for Questions 1-3 are provided in Table 2.2
Table 2.2 Calculation of difficulty and discrimination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th># Correct (Upper group)</th>
<th># Correct (Lower group)</th>
<th>Difficulty (p)</th>
<th>Discrimination (D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now that we have the table filled in, what does it mean? We can see that Question #2 had a difficulty index of 0.30 (meaning it was quite difficult), and it also had a negative discrimination index of -0.6 (meaning that the low-performing students were more likely to get this item correct). This question should be carefully analyzed, and probably deleted or changed. Our "best" overall question is Question 3, which had a moderate difficulty level (0.60), and discriminated extremely well (0.8).

How many of the items are of medium difficulty? These are the best, because they provide the most opportunity to discriminate (to see this, look at their maximum discrimination indexes in the first row of headings). Items that most everybody gets right or gets wrong simply can’t discriminate much.

The important test for an item’s discriminability is to compare it to the maximum possible. How well did each item discriminate relative to the maximum..? Here is a rough rule.

- Discrimination index is near the maximum possible = very discriminating item.
- Discrimination index is about half the maximum possible = moderately discriminating item.
- Discrimination index is about a quarter the maximum possible = weak item
- Discrimination index is near zero = non-discriminating item.
- Discrimination index is negative = bad item (delete it if worse than -.10)

**Method II**

The following method (Brown et al 1997) looks at the number of correct responses to an item by the top and bottom thirds of the
test-scorers (ranked according to their overall score on the assessment).

\[ D = \frac{(H-L)}{N} \]

\( D \) = discrimination
\( H \) = number of correct responses to question by the top third of test scorers
\( L \) = number of correct responses to question by bottom third of test scorers
\( N \) = number of students in the sub-group

If a test is taken by 90 students and 25 students in the top third answer the question correctly while only 10 students in the bottom third respond correctly, the discrimination of the question would be:

\[ D = \frac{(25-10)}{30} \]
\[ D = \frac{15}{30} \]
\[ D = 0.5 \]

Discrimination scores range from -1 to +1. Positive scores of above +0.2 (a generally accepted lower bound) indicate a good discrimination and negative scores indicate a question with a poor discrimination. Questions with a negative discrimination should be eliminated.

*Item analysis worksheet - example*

Ten students have taken an objective assessment. The quiz contained 10 questions. In the table 3.1 below, the students’ scores have been listed from high to low (Student 1, Student 2, Student 3, Student 4, and Student 5 are in the upper half). There are five students in the upper half and five students in the lower half. The number “1” indicates a correct answer on the question; a “0” indicates an incorrect answer.
Table 3.1 Students' scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Name</th>
<th>Total Score (%)</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student 1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculate the Difficulty Index (p) and the Discrimination Index (D) for each question.

Answer the following questions:
1. Which question was the easiest?
2. Which question was the most difficult?
3. Which item has the poorest discrimination?
4. Which questions would you eliminate first (if any) – why?

Table 3.2 difficulty and discrimination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Correct (Upper group)</th>
<th>Correct (Lower group)</th>
<th>Difficulty (p)</th>
<th>Discrimination (D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Answer the following questions:
1. Which question was the easiest? Question #2 (p=1.0)
2. Which question was the most difficult? Question #9 (p=.03 – only 30% of the students got it correct).
3. Which item has the poorest discrimination? Question #9 (D=-0.4 – it has negative discrimination – the lower students were more likely to get it correct)

4. Which questions would you eliminate first (if any) – why? Question #9 – because of the negative discrimination.

Guessing: If students can easily discount one or more distractors then the chance of guessing is increased, reducing the discriminability of that item.

Frequency analysis – This measures the number of times a choice or alternative was selected. If certain distracters are rarely chosen, they may be ineffective and you should consider replacing them with more challenging alternatives.

**Test Revision**

- Assess strengths and weaknesses of items
- Modify on the basis of the analyses
- Review purpose of the test to determine any modifications
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