

Critical Sociology

Dr. ASHOK SHIVAJI YAKKALDEVI
Assistant Professor
A.R. Burla Vartishta Mahila Mahavidyalaya
Solapur, India

Introduction:

Critical sociology is a methodology to examining society, educated by chronicled realism, which looks to make dangerous existing social relations keeping in mind the end goal to uncover the hidden structural clarifications for those relations. Accordingly, it can be connected to all ranges of sociological request and is not the investigation of any subfields inside humanism. In each of these territories, we can distinguish a discriminating humanism, one that berates the hidden supposition of the relating standard human science. Promoters of a basic social science contend that standard humanism is, comprehensively expressed, an index of what is normal and a clarification for how people act when working outside those desires. For discriminating sociologists, the key is the way the standards are characterized and what constitutes activities by people who abuse standards. Where standard social science would see a plane flying out of arrangement, basic humanism asks whether the development is flying on course, and who or what decides the shape and course of that development in any case.

There are two imperative territories of sociological exploration underestimated at present; however which can without much of a stretch be recognized as the result of a

discriminating sociological lens. The principal is the rise of class as an exploration idea, keeping in mind still hostile in some way or another a class-based investigation of society is as imperative as one established in an understanding of social stratification. In the class model of society, people end up in structural positions, and the subsequent capacity to enhance one's social and monetary standing is obliged by the restrictions of that structure. While social stratification writing arranges every person along a continuum inside society, the class-based writing is more concerned with how structural obstructions obstruct advance paying little heed to individual endeavors. This has prompted the social and political activism coordinated at those political and social establishments imitating the imbalances inside society.

The second real commitment of basic humanism is the manner by which we comprehend monetary advancement and the relationship between developed modern countries and whatever is left of the creating scene. Speculations of modernization were established in an understanding of advancement focused around a commence that all countries must experience phases of financial and social improvement much like that accomplished by exceptional entrepreneur countries. Researchers concentrated on the absence of proficient bureaucratic structures, impetus components, balanced markets, and work versatility as the premise for fizzled or slacking national advancement. Anyway discriminating sociologists set a set of speculations about the relationship between creating nonindustrial countries and the industrialist center, tested the idea of a teleological way to advancement, and brought up that creating countries were hurt by (and not slacking) the more created countries. This exploration offered climb to examinations of dominion, the way of vote based system and improvement, and investigations into the methods by which progressed countries force bureaucratic arrangements (through orgs like the World Bank or the International Monetary Fund) or mediate politically and militarily to

guarantee administrations and economies ideal to cutting edge industrialist nations instead of advancing free financial and social advancement.

In general, critical sociology can be characterized in two ways. First, those writing in the critical sociology tradition are generally opposed to functional explanations of how society works. The second form of critical sociology is more parochial, and emerges out of the tradition of radical political economy, a tradition that looks more carefully at why society is designed to generate bad outcomes for many people rather than understanding how bad outcomes occur in society. While early critical sociology was rooted in the traditions characterized as Marxism, critical sociology more generally extends beyond the material concerns of scholars writing in that tradition and embraces questions of power writ large, the importance of culture, and the nature of social relationships that are not rooted in its material conditions (e.g., racism and sexism).

Both strands of critical sociology emerge out of the intellectual agenda of critical theory, although sociologists have expanded the range and scope of inquiry beyond that which is most commonly associated with critical theorists. The remainder of this research paper briefly reviews the origins and current directions of critical sociology. In the next section, I explore the historical roots of the discipline with respect to mainstream sociology. This is followed by a discussion of the emergence of critical theory and its role in defining the nature of critical sociology. In the second section, I identify some research within the critical sociology tradition, the importance of this research, and its impact on the theory and practice of sociology. In the final section, I offer some insight into the areas of inquiry that will serve as the focal point of future critical sociology research.

The Historical Development of a Critical Sociology

To comprehend discriminating social science, it is fundamental to consider humanism as a savvy discipline writ extensive. Dissimilar to different subfields inside human science, basic humanism speaks to a methodology to sociological request instead of being an extension of that endeavor. This is best seen by taking a gander at the bases of the control, and by following the savvy conventions that offered climb to a basic social science. It is the response to these conventions of grant and social examination and the results for comprehension society that offer climb to the techniques hidden discriminating sociological investigation.

The Development of Sociology as a Science:

Most social sciences have roots that follow back the length of there have been colleges and universities sorted out for the investigation of the world in which individuals end up. While unique grant had a tendency to be in the physical domain, researchers and rationalists have since a long time ago fretted about the spot people hold in the bigger universe, the premise and significance of affection and governmental issues, and by the 1700s genuine investigation into how society works, and the relationship of individuals and society (for a general audit, see Bauman 1976, chap. 1). The production of Rousseau's "On the Social Contract in 1762" (Barker 1990) expected the need of a social and political request with the power (as he put it) to force opportunity on people. This work framed the establishment of a significant part of the political logic of what now be known as the Enlightenment and arranged the way for the sociological investigation into the structure and importance of society.

Auguste Comte pressed the significance of mulling over the arrangement of social relations to comprehend the political and monetary conduct of society. Basically, Comte noted that society speaks to an arrangement of layering by which

occasions must be dissected once each of the connections underneath the surface is peeled back. Comte stresses the quest for experimentally based laws of society from which all different activities can be clarified (and as positivism created, through which all activities can be anticipated). As Burawoy (1998) puts it, because of the endeavors of Comte, "Human science was the final one of the orders to enter the kingdom of positivism; from that point, furnished with unrivaled good knowledge, it would govern over the wild, making request and advancement out of bedlam" (p. 12). Be that as it may maybe a more paramount legacy of Comte develops in his feeling that fundamental all activity is a regular request of things, and all social activity is either an affirmation of that common request making headway with society in its advancement or an arrangement of activities that bring about turmoil and disappointment. As Bauman (1976) focuses out, Comte's work can be outlined as "a steady endeavor to secure the case for a 'social nature' which goes through the fits and begins of political history" (p. 11), and it is the social researcher who can uncover that nature.

In after the convention of Comte, Durkheim tried to comprehend the purposes behind unequal social results and contends for an ethical recentering to counter the disintegrative outcomes of the new monetary framework. Durkheim's improvement of social science as a positive science established in the gathering of hard confirmation headed him to reveal the failings of a financial framework that takes away the association of people to society all in all (found in preindustrial society) without giving another good compass to social activity. That compass will essentially rise in the common request of things, yet meanwhile Durkheim urges the state to authorize laws guaranteeing the welfare of society's natives. To exaggerate, the framework is not itself the issue.

Max Weber ([1904] 1930) gave an understanding of the essential strengths of reason and request that are vital to the advancement of common society. His hypotheses of administration, normal activity, and request help us see how

monetary discernment must take after the political judiciousness reflected as the country state. Tenets of political activity offer approach to runs of financial activity without a doubt, the previous makes ready for the last in the manifestations of business law, solid authorization of agreement, unsurprising conclusions of the communication of people in the public eye as they look for monetary thriving. While private enterprise spoke to incredible riches and thriving, monetary advances happen just when a general public has created the social and political conditions fundamental for the methodical and free trade of the components of generation.

The human science that grabbed hold before the end of the nineteenth century was identified with the rise of free enterprise. Weber's work on religion, advocacy, and administration helped shape the order. Talcott Parsons' interpretation of Weber's work ([1904] 1930) included a measurement of functionalism in conclusions that is, the social reality reflects social needs as watched. Disparity and imbalances emerging in free enterprise have as much if not more to do with individual coming up short as opposed to structural obstacles to the "levelheaded" request of occasions or activities. While Weber provided for us a model of society that moved in the direction of productive operation, Parsons helped characterize this operation as a characteristic condition of occasions and recognized the entrepreneur arrangement of social relations as the common development of society.

Along these lines, entrepreneur society was the "characteristic" condition, and human science spoke to the science for seeing how society worked (and certainly inside the point of view that issues in the public arena were the consequence of individual disappointment), which thusly offered climb to a basic and oppositional voice inside humanism. Discriminating humanism rose to test that view (Quinney 1979) and to show that social disparity was not an abnormality yet itself the ordinary result of a framework predicated on force connections and contending dreams of social association,

however, as Luhmann (1994) reminds us, we must be ever aware of how hypothesis structures the way we analyze the world. Levine (2004) blueprints a percentage of the political difficulties confronted by oppositional voices as they developed in the 1960s and 1970s, and the scholarly advancements prompting a discriminating sociological plan. An exchange of the intelligent convention that underpins this discriminating examination takes after.

Critical Theory and the Emergence of a Critical Sociology

One of the focal mainstays of sociological investigation is found in the works of Karl Marx. Composing during an era when private enterprise's transformative influence and its capacity to create incredible riches was first grabbing hold, Marx's motivation was to look at how this framework functioned, how it was unique in relation to what preceded it, and where a general public determined by what he called industrialist social relations was heading. Expanding on the savvy customs of social and political hypothesis, political economy, and inside the emanant investigative humanism of Comte, Marx created a discriminating hypothesis of society. Prior manifestations of utopian communist works, logical political economy, and discriminating reasoning had as not yet recognized either the way of the class society or the components that characterized a free market system as a social and financial arrangement of human exercises. The gathered works of Marx brought to the fore issues of estrangement, the assignment through new social relations of the method for generation and in this way of the benefit of human work, and the significance of the social and political foundations creating in pair with the advancement of private enterprise as a globalizing arrangement of creation. It was this basic hypothesis that went past the idea of a "quality free" exact activity planned as right on time sociologists endeavored to do to give a target portrayal through information

gathering and examination. For Marx and the individuals who took after, the assignment of basic hypothesis was to arrange learning inside the set of social substances and estimations of society with the end goal of testing and refuting the present state of affair.

The procedure of reification of society made another type of society that undermined the potential for progressive activity. Besides, as per Marx, this methodology of reification applies to all human experience. Therefore, advances of a free market system into the twentieth century shut off the likelihood of basic thought as learned work got to be overwhelmed by a "fetishism" of realities. This positivism agreed truths an illusionary objectivity and autonomy from the social relations in which they were delivered (see Ray 1990). The ensuing plan in the period between the two world wars and the advancement and rise of European autocracy (went before sort of by the lowly insurgency in Russia, yet the thrashing of all other progressive laborer developments in Europe), was one of unpacking the relationship between the improvement of the industrialist framework and the potential for illuminated and emancipatory social change. As Ray (1990) focuses out, "The venture of Critical Theory has been to create methods for thinking so subversive of prevailing legitimations, that to comprehend them is to oppose them" (p. xviii). Discriminating hypothesis based on Marx's material examination and made vital advances into the part of society and science in the generation of these reactionary belief systems (Scott 1978).

To a Critical Sociological Methodology

With its savvy obligation to discriminating hypothesis, basic human science rose in the 1960s and 1970s as a test to standard humanism and as an intends to survey the part that private enterprise played in deciding the structures, connections, and frameworks inside the American culture. For these researchers, numerous were graduate understudies

around then, the order of humanism was an "average" science serving as an expression of remorse for the norm as opposed to a power for examination of what wasn't right with Western culture. That is, discriminating sociologists contended that standard humanism was a control determined by the need to distinguish and vindicate the current social relations as exactly watched working of some common request in the advancement of society. The obsession of information and the religion of information clouded the way that society was indeed a development of a specific notable monetary framework.

Discriminating social science is above all else educated by a recorded realist methodology to comprehension society. Particularly, this is the application of Marx's investigation of the industrialist framework to the examination of chronicled advancement. While the political economists who went before Marx concentrated on understanding the authentic bases of contemporary society as the way to opening how society worked in its available day, Marx contended that to treat social history preceding its available minute as outside realities is to miss the crucial relationship between the past and the present. It is unequivocally how history is involved in the contemporary material connections of the present that will open our comprehension the social courses of action in power at the present. To allocate occasions preceding any time as some ancient times is to slip-up the relationship of those occasions to current conduct and sows the seeds of missing the basic measurements of contemporary social relations. As he expounds on Adam Smith, "What Adam Smith, in the genuine eighteenth-century way, puts in the ancient period, the period going before history, is fairly a result of history" (Marx 1973:156). Basically, Marx contends that in Smith's quest for the substance of the "cutting edge" economy he puts aside the social connections that offered climb to that current economy.

The Current Status of Critical Sociology

At first, discriminating sociologists made inquiries identifying with the results that we encounter, and the verifiable conditions that drive contemporary social conclusions. Moreover, there was enthusiasm toward making a hypothetical casing that would prompt recognizing the methods for creating some perfect condition of being. The social agitation of the 1960s and the expanding learned disappointment with the surviving sociological clarifications brought on numerous sociologists to look to discriminating hypothesis to advise their examinations. With a concentrate on the way of the industrialist framework and an obligation to the compositions of Marx (see particularly Marx 1964, 1967, 1972), discriminating sociologists and radical economists left on a nitty gritty investigation of the part that the entrepreneur framework played in characterizing and deciding the way of creation and work (Thompson 1964; Braverman 1974; Burawoy 1979; Edwards 1979), the way of class structure (Zeitlin 1970; Wright 1979), the way of the state (Poulantzas 1978; Wright 1978; Block 1987; Esping-Anderson 1990), the development and part of philosophy (Gouldner 1970, 1973; Ollman 1971; Marcuse [1941] 1977), the way of instruction and the multiplication of social relations (Bowles and Gintis 1976; Apple 1979; Willis 1981), the production of urban space (Edel 1973; Harvey 1973, 1982), the way of open division financial strategy (O'Connor 1973), the way of associations (Clegg 1975; Clegg and Dunkerley 1977; Bradley and Wilkie 1980), the way of worldwide capital and worldsystems (Baran and Sweezy 1968; Wallerstein 1974, 1976; Chase-Dunn 1989), the way of Third World advancement (Frank 1966), the part and structure of the decision class (Therborn 1976, 1978; Domhoff 1978), and the way of society and religion (Tawney [1926] 1958; Eagleton 1976; Berger [1972] 1977).

Later, researchers who asked how race, sexual orientation, and different manifestations of imbalance endured

significantly under apparently freeing and regularly Marxist investigations postured difficulties to basic scholars and numerous discriminating sociologists. In the prologue to her book, Lydia Sargent (1981) exposit large portions of the disagreements and hypothetical contemplations that faced ladies occupied with dynamic governmental issues all through the 1960s and 1970s. One of the more paramount attacks on the confinements of a simply realist, Marxist, investigation of society originates from Heidi Hartmann's (1981) generally titled paper on the troubled marriage of Marxism and woman's rights. In this article, and the civil arguments that emulated (see Sargent 1981; Sargent 1981 for ahead of schedule summaries on this work and responses to Hartmann's premises), Hartmann questions whether we can securely expect that all types of force disparity (impending, as it does, at the tallness of the Women's Movement) can be tended to through an investigation of a general public established in customary Marxian concerns of class battle and the appointment of the method for creation. Basically, Hartmann asks, why would it be a good idea for us to expect that by essentially tending to the social results of a specific financial association of social relations we will evacuate the sex based disparity in contemporary society? Unwilling to surrender her Marxian roots, Hartmann regardless recognizes that there are true blue inquiries raised by women's activist researchers.

Future Directions for a Critical Sociology

The twenty-first century represents a few huge difficulties for social science all in all, difficulties that will all the more promptly be tended to from a basic sociological viewpoint that is exceptionally suited for researching the future (Cooke 2004). These progressions are established exactly in the changes of private enterprise inside every nation and generally speaking as the global arrangement of generation forms into a worldwide economy. These incorporate inquiries of citizenship, character

governmental issues, and the change of social approaches to address these difficulties; the expanding disassembling of the social welfare capacity of industrialized countries; the development of expanding hazard in regular life as the significance of work changes; the proceeded with legacy of postcolonialism as new manifestations of patriotism develop because of strengthened globalization; and the change of the economy from a transcendently mechanical arrangement of generation to one ordinarily and thus called an administration economy, a data economy, and now a learning based economy. Given us a chance to consider every one for a minute.

A. Citizenship and Identity Politics

As the late revolting in France, reminiscent of the racial revolting in the United States amid the late 1960s, focuses out, mechanical countries in both Europe and North American are progressively getting to be multiethnic and different (see Fasenfest, Booza, and Metzger 2005 for a talk of ethnic and racial change of U.s. urban areas) because of inner movement and migration from the fringe. While this has given work in numerous areas to numerous decades in Europe as well as among low-wage work in the United States, there is an expanding need to discover approaches to rethink citizenship and having a place. The French revolting was as much about social contrast as it was about social avoidance, and in Germany there is the incongruity that more prominent liberal opportunity has brought about more customary religious groups to force confinements unrealistic in their nations of origin (e.g., among the Turkish group). Basic humanism will bring an understanding of both social and financial courses of action established in the chronicled improvement of these relocations, arranged in the social safety of the host nations attempting to keep up old definitions in another social environment.

B. Disassembling Social Welfare

We are not outsiders to financial emergency or fluctuating economies and downturns that put weight on our social assets. However as the economy changes in essential ways, customary social welfare capacities are progressively dissolved in a perpetual way. For a few nations, in the same way as the United States, these capacities were powerless, best case scenario; for different nations (e.g., Europe and Japan), they were a piece of the social fabric (whether more formal as in Europe or casual as in Japan). As the work of Gottfried and O'reilly (2004) focuses to does the social welfare net shred as well as there are obviously sex (and race) measurements of these progressions. Discriminating social science will allow an investigation of the hidden recorded premise for these welfare capacities as an approach to comprehend the specific example of their disintegration.

C. Rise of a Risk Society

The critical work of Ulrich Beck (1992) has brought up that even as financial development of the economy general achieves record levels (valid all through the 1990s), people were progressively indeterminate and uncomfortable with their status in the public eye. Firms bit by bit moved far from models of representative unwaveringness prompting lifetime occupation and to an example of liquid work powers laid off and enlisted over as the business and item cycles requested. As the national economy was progressively enmeshed in a worldwide economy, specialists are pressed to be more adaptable in place for the firm to be more focused. Youngsters particularly search for new models for their work lives, going under expanding weight as an aftereffect of the nonappearance of a way for their future (Powell and Edwards 2003). For most specialists that implies less pay, loss of profits, and more prominent instability. Discriminating humanism will give a window into how to comprehend these progressions and how to prepare for more prominent security and monetary solidness.

D. Postcolonial Resistance and Globalization

The abundantly exposed (and becoming worldwide) imperviousness to occasions like the gatherings of the World Trade Organization and the World Bank highlights the way of safety on the national level as well as the result of universal coalitions looking to adjust the example of decay and immiseration that takes after. Basic sociologists (see, e.g., the gathering of articles in Podobnik and Reifer 2005) are progressively taking a gander at how safety has been changed and anticipating what new enclosures of resistance will rise because of this social and financial change. While even standard humanism recognizes that there are new difficulties, more often than not this is seen as the expense of the worldwide change of the economy. Basic social science contends that the structure and degree of that change is a capacity of specific social strengths established in free enterprise, and that option dreams are conceivable.

E. Post-Fordist Economic Transformation

The move of our economy has been a long and to a degree drawn out procedure. The principal phases of this change are the overall explored times of rust-cinch deindustrialization as industry either moved far from or basically shut more established operations in customary mechanical urban areas. Not simply the decay of more seasoned urban communities like Pittsburgh, Detroit, and Youngstown in the United States additionally the covering of plants in the United Kingdom and the decrease of the Ruhr Gebeit in the western districts of Germany show that this was a worldwide procedure of progress. A few spots changed themselves by concentrating on administrations and high innovation, some by getting to be focuses of budgetary operations. Be that as it may this last decade has seen two central changes: a considerable lot of these supposed innovative and administration work is leaving the mechanical countries for the creating scene, and large portions of the conventional businesses are getting to be changed

forever. In the first occasion, we have heard much about India, Malaysia, and the Philippines, and in the second, despite the fact that car creation stays vigorous in the quantity of cars created and sold, the worldwide work energy utilized in making autos has dropped drastically as a consequence of robotization, new generation procedures, and new materials. Basic sociologists are simply starting to investigate what is implied by great employments, how these progressions will adjust our understanding of work, and maybe how this change may well modify the extremely social fabric we have woven for as long as 100 years.

What's to come is misty. Basic human science, so long as what's to come is determined by an industrialist social, political, and monetary rationale, may well be the most ideal method for investigating the present to comprehend what's to come.

REFERENCES:

- Apple, Michael. 1979. *Ideology and the Curriculum*. London, England: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Arena, John D. 2004. "Repression, Racism, and Resistance: The New Orleans Black Urban Regime and a Challenge to Racist Neoliberalism." Pp. 365–96 in *Race and Ethnicity: Across Time, Space and Discipline*, edited by R. Coates. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Baran, Paul and Paul Sweezy. 1968. *Monopoly Capital: An Essay on the American Economic and Social Order*. New York: Modern Reader Paperbacks.
- Barker, Ernest. 1990. *Social Contract: Essays by Locke, Hume and Rousseau*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Bauman, Zygmunt. 1976. *Towards a Critical Sociology: Essays on Commonsense and Emancipation*. London, England: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

- Beck, Ulrich. 1992. *Risk Society*. London, England: Sage.
- Berger, John. [1972] 1977. *Ways of Seeing*. New York: Penguin Books.
- Block, Fred. 1987. *Revising State Theory: Essays in Politics and Postindustrialism*. Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.
- Bowles, Sam and Herb Gintis. 1976. *Schooling in Capitalist America*. New York: Basic Books.
- Bradley, David A. and Roy Wilkie. 1980. "Radical Organization Theory: A Critical Comment." *British Journal of Sociology* 31(4):574–79.
- Braverman, Harry. 1974. *Labor and Monopoly Capital: The Degradation of Work in the Twentieth Century*. New York: Monthly Review Press.
- Brewer, Rose M. 2004. "A Critical Sociology of African Americans, the U.S. Welfare State, and Neoliberalism in the Era of Corporate Globalization." Pp. 117–33 in *Race and Ethnicity: Across Time, Space and Discipline*, edited by R. Coates. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Burawoy, Michael. 1979. *Manufacturing Consent: Changes in the Labor Process under Monopoly Capitalism*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Burawoy, Michael. 1998. "Critical Sociology: A Dialogue between Two Sciences." *Contemporary Sociology* 27(1):12–21.
- Burawoy, Michael. 2005. "The Critical Turn to Public Sociology." *Critical Sociology* 31(3):313–26.
- Buttel Frederick and Kenneth Gould. 2005. "Global Social Movements at the Crossroads: An Investigation of Relations between the Anti-Corporate Globalization and Environmental Movements." Pp. 139–56 in *Transforming Globalization: Challenges and Opportunities in the Post-9/11 Era*, edited by B. Podobnik and T. Reifer. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Chase-Dunn, Christopher. 1989. *Global Formation: Structures of the World-Economy*. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

- Clegg, Stewart. 1975. *Power, Rule, and Domination: A Critical and Empirical Understanding of Power in Sociological Theory and Organizational Life*. London, England: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Clegg, Stewart and D. Dunkerley, eds. 1977. *Critical Issues in Organizations*. London, England: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Coates, Rodney. 2004. *Race and Ethnicity: Across Time, Space and Discipline*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Cooke, Maeve. 2004. "Redeeming Redemption: The Utopian Dimension of Critical Social Theory." *Philosophy and Social Criticism* 30(4):413–29.
- Davies, Scott. 1995. "Leaps of Faith: Shifting Currents in Critical Sociology of Education." *American Journal of Sociology* 100(6):1448–78.
- Domhoff, G. William. 1978. *Who Really Rules? New Haven and Community Power Reexamined*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Eagleton, Terry. 1976. *Criticism and Ideology: A Study in Marxist Literary Theory*. London, England: New Left Books.
- Edel, Matthew. 1973. *Economies and the Environment*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Edwards, Richard. 1979. *Contested Terrain: The Transformation of the Workplace in the Twentieth Century*. New York: Basic Books.
- Esping-Anderson, Gosta. 1990. *The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Fasensfest, David, Jason Booza, and Kurt Metzger. 2005. "Living Together: A New Look at Racial and Ethnic Integration in Metropolitan Neighborhoods, 1990–2000." Pp. 93–117 in *Redefining Urban and Suburban America: Evidence from Census 2000*, Vol. III, edited by A. Berube, B. Katz, and R. Lang. Washington, DC: Brookings.

- Frank, Andre Gunder. 1966. *The Development of Underdevelopment*. Boston, MA: New England Free Press.
- Fraser, Nancy. 1985. "What's Critical about Critical Theory? The Case of Habermas and Gender." *New German Critique* 35(Spring-Summer):97–131.
- Gianpaolo, Baiocchi. 2005. "The Workers' Party and the World Social Forum: Challenges of Building a Just Social Order." Pp. 83–94 in *Transforming Globalization: Challenges and Opportunities in the Post-9/11 Era*, edited by B. Podobnik and T. Reifer. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Gamson, William. 2006. "Movement Impact on Cultural Change." Pp. 103–26 in *Culture, Power and History*, edited by S. Pfohl, A. Van Wagenen, P. Arend, A. Brooks, and D. Leckenby. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Goldstein, Warren. 2005. "The Dialectics of Religious Rationalization and Secularization: Max Weber and Ernst Bloch." *Critical Sociology* 31(1–2):115–52.
- Goldthorpe, John. 2004. "Sociology as Social Science and Cameral Sociology: Some Further Thoughts." *European Sociological Review* 20(2):97–105.
- Gottfried, Heidi and Jacqueline O'Reilly. 2004. "Institutionally Embedded Gender Models: Re-Regulating Gender Models in Germany and Japan." Pp. 103–30 in *Equity in the Workplace*, edited by H. Gottfried and L. Reese. New York: Lexington Books.
- Gottfried, Heidi and Laura Reese. 2004. *Equity in the Workplace*. New York: Lexington Books.
- Gouldner, Alvin. 1970. *The Coming Crisis of Western Sociology*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gouldner, Alvin. 1973. *For Sociology: Renewal and Critique in Sociology Today*. London, England: Allen Lane.
- Gurr, Ted. 1970. *Why Men Rebel*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

- Hartmann, Heidi. 1981. "The Unhappy Marriage of Marxism and Feminism." Pp. 1–41 in *Women and Revolution: A Discussion of the Unhappy Marriage of Marxism & Feminism*, edited by L. Sargent. Boston, MA: South End Press.
- Harvey, David. 1973. *Social Justice and the City*. London, England: Edward Arnold.
- Harvey, David. 1982. *The Limits to Capital*. Oxford, England: Blackwell Books.
- Hill-Collins, Patricia. 1990. *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment*. Boston, MA: Unwin Hyman.
- Langman, Lauren. 2005. "The Dialectic of Unenlightenment: Toward a Critical Theory of Islamic Fundamentalism." *Critical Sociology* 31(1–2):243–79.
- Leonardo, Zeus. 2004. "The Unhappy Marriage between Marxism and Race Critique: Political Economy and the Production of Racialized Knowledge." *Policy Futures in Education* 2(3–4):483–93.
- Levine, Rhonda. 2004. "Legacies of the Insurgent Sociologist." Pp. 1–12 in *Enriching the Sociological Imagination: How Radical Sociology Changed the Discipline*, edited by R. Levine. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Luhmann, Stephen Fuchs. 1994. "What Is the Case?" and "What Lies Behind It?" *The Two Sociologies and the Theory of Society*." *Sociological Theory* 12(2):126–39.
- Marable, Manning. 1983. *How Capitalism Underdeveloped Black America: Problems in Race, Political Economy and Society*. Boston, MA: South End Press.
- Marcuse, Herbert. [1941] 1977. *Reason and Revolution: Hegel and the Rise of the Social Theory*. London, England: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Marx, Karl. 1964. *Economic and Philosophic Manuscripts of 1844*. New York: International Publishers.
- Marx, Karl. 1967. *Capital: A Critique of Political Economy*. New York: International Publishers.

- Marx, Karl. 1972. *The Eighteenth Brumaire of Louis Bonaparte*. Moscow, Russia: Progress Publishers.
- Marx, Karl. 1973. *Grundrisse: Foundations of the Critique of Political Economy*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Monahan, Torin. 2005. "The School System as a Post-Fordist Organization: Fragmented Centralization and the Emergence of IT Specialists." *Critical Sociology* 31(4): 583–616.
- O'Connor, James. 1973. *The Fiscal Crisis of the State*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Ollman, Bertell. 1971. *Alienation: Marx's Conception of Man in Capitalist Society*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Pfohl, Stephen, Aimee Van Wagenen, Patricia Arend, Abigail Brooks, and Denise Leckenby, eds. 2006. *Culture, Power and History*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Podobnik, Bruce and Thomas Reifer, eds. 2005. *Transforming Globalization: Challenges and Opportunities in the Post-9/11 Era*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Poulantzas, Nicos. 1978. *Classes in Contemporary Capitalism*. London, England: Verso Press.
- Powell, Jason L. and Margaret Edwards. 2003. "Risk and Youth: A Critical Sociological Narrative." *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy* 23(12):81–95.
- Quinney, Richard. 1979. *Capitalist Society: Readings for a Critical Sociology*. Homewood, IL: Dorsey Press.
- Ray, Larry, ed. 1990. *Critical Sociology*. Aldershot, England: Edward Elgar.
- Roberts, Glenda S. 2004. "Globalization and Work/Life Balance: Gender Implications of New Initiatives at a U.S. Multinational in Japan." Pp. 305–23 in *Equity in the Workplace*, edited by H. Gottfried and L. Reese. New York: Lexington Books.
- Rockwell, Russell. 2004. "Hegel and Critical Social Theory." *Sociological Quarterly* 45(1):141–159.

- Ross, Robert J. S. 2005. "From Anti-Sweatshop, to Global Justice, to Anti-War: Student Participation in Globalization Protests." Pp. 111–22 in *Transforming Globalization: Challenges and Opportunities in the Post-9/11 Era*, edited by B. Podobnik and T. Reifer. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Sargent, Lydia, ed. 1981. *Women and Revolution: A Discussion of the Unhappy Marriage of Marxism & Feminism*. Boston, MA: South End Press.
- Scott, John P. 1978. "Critical Social Theory: An Introduction and Critique." *British Journal of Sociology* 29(1):1–21.
- Shor, Juliet. 2006. "The Commodification of Childhood: Tales from the Advertising Front Lines." Pp. 89–102 in *Culture, Power and History*, edited by S. Pfohl, A. Van Wagenen, P. Arend, A. Brooks, and D. Leckenby. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Sergent, Lynda, ed. 1981. *The Unhappy Marriage of Marxism & Feminism: A Debate On Class & Patriarchy*. London, England: Pluto Press.
- Tawney, Richard Henry. [1926] 1958. *Religion and the Rise of Capitalism: A Historical Study*. New York: New American Library.
- Therborn, Goran. 1976. *Science, Class and Society: On the Formation of Sociology and Historical Materialism*. London, England: New Left Books.
- Therborn, Goran. 1978. *What Does the Ruling Class Do When It Rules? State Apparatuses and State Power under Feudalism, Capitalism and Socialism*. London, England: New Left Books.
- Thompson, E. P. 1964. *The Making of the English Working Class*. New York: Pantheon Books.
- Tilly, Charles. 1978. *From Mobilization to Revolution*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- von der Heydt-Coca. 2005. "Andean Silver and the Rise of the Western World." *Critical Sociology* 31(4):481–514.

- Wallerstein, Immanuel Maurice. 1974. *The Modern World-System*. New York: Academic Press.
- Wallerstein, Immanuel Maurice. 1976. *Class Conflict in the Capitalist World-Economy*. Binghamton: SUNY Press.
- Weber, Max. [1904] 1930. *The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism*. Translated by Talcott Parson. New York: Scribner's.
- Willis, Paul. 1981. *Learning to Labor: How Working Class Kids Get Working Class Jobs*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Wilson, William Julius. 1978. *The Declining Significance of Race: Blacks and Changing American Institutions*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Wright, Erik Olin. 1978. *Class, Crisis, and the State*. London, England: New Left Books.
- Wright, Erik Olin. 1979. *Class Structure and Income Determination*. New York: Academic Press.
- Zeitlin, Maurice. 1970. *American Society, Inc.: Studies of the Social Structure and Political Economy of the United States*. Chicago, IL: Markham.