



## On Common Fixed Point for Four Maps in 2- metric Space

ASHA  
SANJAY KUMAR TIWARI  
P.G.Department of Mathematics  
Magadh University, Bodh Gaya (Bihar)  
India

### Abstract:

*We have established a fixed point theorem in 2-metric space for four maps. Our result generalizes the result of Lal and Singh.*

### Introduction:

There have been a number of generalization of a metric space. One such generalization of 2-metric space was initiated by Gahler [1]. Geometrically in plane, 2-metric function abstracts the properties of the area function for Euclidean triangle just as a metric function abstracts the length function for Euclidean segment.

After the introduction of concept of 2-metric space, many authors established an analogue of Banach's Contraction principle in 2-metric space. Iseki [2] for the first time developed fixed point theorem in 2-metric space. Since then a quite significant number of authors [3], [4], [5], [6], etc. have established fixed point theorem in 2- metric space.

Lal and Singh[3] proved,

**Theorem (1.1)** Let  $S$  and  $T$  are two self maps of a complete 2 metric space  $(X, d)$  such that:

$$d(Sx, Ty, a) \leq a_1 d(x, y, a) + a_2 d(Sx, x, a) + a_3 d(Ty, y, a) + a_4 d(Sx, y, a) + a_5 d(Ty, x, a)$$

for all  $x, y, a \in X$ , where  $a_i$  ( $i=1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ ) are positive integers such that  $(1-a_3-a_4) > 0$  and  $(1-a_2-a_5) > 0$ .

Then  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique fixed point theorem.

**Preliminaries:** Now we give some basic definitions and well known results that are needed in the sequel.

**Definition (2.1)** [1] Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $d: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ . If for all  $x, y, z,$  and  $u$  in  $X$ . We have

- (d<sub>1</sub>)  $d(x, y, z) = 0$  if at least two of  $x, y, z$  are equal.
- (d<sub>2</sub>) for all  $x \neq y$ , there exists a point  $z$  in  $x$  such that  $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$ .
- (d<sub>3</sub>)  $d(x, y, z) = d(x, z, y) = d(y, z, x) = \dots$  and so on
- (d<sub>4</sub>)  $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, u) + d(x, u, z) + d(u, y, z)$

Then  $d$  is called a 2-metric on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, d)$  is called 2-metric space.

**Definition (2.2):** A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a 2-metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ m \rightarrow \infty}} d(x_n, x_m, a) = 0$  for all  $a \in X$ .

**Definition (2.3):** A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a 2-metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be a convergent if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x, a) = 0$  for all  $a \in X$ . The point  $x$  is called the limit of the sequence.

**Definition (2.4) :** A 2-metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent.

**Main Result:**

**Theorem (3.1) :** Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  are four self maps of a complete 2-metric space  $(X, d)$  such that

- (i)  $A(X) \subseteq T(X) : B(X) \subseteq S(X)$
- (ii) pairs  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are commuting.
- (iii)  $d(Ax, By, a) \leq a_1 d(Sx, Ty, a) + a_2 d(Ax, Sx, a) + a_3 d(By, Ty, a) + a_4 d(Sx, By, a) + a_5 d(Ax, Ty, a)$

for all  $x, y, a \in X$ , where  $a_i (i=1, 2, 3, 4)$  are positive integers such that  $(1 - a_3 - a_4) > 0$  and  $(1 - a_2 - a_5) > 0$

then

- (iv)  $A$  and  $S$  have a coincidence point
  - (v)  $B$  and  $T$  have a coincidence point
- Moreover if the pairs  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are commuting then  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof :**

Since (i) holds, we can define a sequence by choosing an arbitrary point  $x_0$  in  $X$ , such that

$$x_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$$

and  $x_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now first we prove that  $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) &= d(Bx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+2}, x_{2n}) \\ &= d(Ax_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + a_2 d(Ax_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ a_3 d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + a_4 d(Sx_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+2}, x_{2n}) + \\
 & a_5 d(Ax_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+1}, x_n) \\
 &= a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}) + a_2 d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \\
 &+ a_3 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}) + a_4 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + a_5 d(x_{2n+2}, \\
 & x_{2n}, x_{2n})
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $(1-a_2) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq 0$ . which is a contradiction.

Hence  $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = 0$

Now we shall prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is cauchy sequence in  $X$ . For this we put  $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$  in (iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Ax_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) &= d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, a) \\
 &\leq a_1 d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, a) + a_2 d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, a) + a_3 d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, a) \\
 &+ a_4 d(Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, a) + a_5 d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, a) \\
 &= a_1 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) + a_2 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, a) + a_3 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, a) \\
 &+ a_4 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}, a) + a_5 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, a) \\
 &\leq (a_1 + a_2) d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) + a_3 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, a) \\
 &+ a_4 [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a)] +
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) \leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_4) d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) + (a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a)$   
 or,  $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) \leq \gamma d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)$ .

or  $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) \leq \gamma d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)$  where

Again putting  $x = x_{2n+2}, y = x_{2n+1}$  (iii) we get,  $y = x_{2n+1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a) &= d(Bx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+2}, a) \\
 &= d(Ax_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+1}, a) \\
 &\leq a_1 d(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+1}, a) + a_2 d(Ax_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}, a) + a_3 d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, a) \\
 &+ a_4 d(Sx_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+1}, a) + a_5 d(Ax_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+1}, a) \\
 &= a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, a) + a_2 d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, a) + a_3 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, a) \\
 &+ a_4 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, a) + a_5 d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n}, a) \\
 &\leq (a_1 + a_3) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, a) + a_2 d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, a) \\
 &+ a_5 [d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, a) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, a)]. \\
 &= (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_5) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, a) + (a_2 + a_5) d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

or  $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a) \leq \beta d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, a)$

or  $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a) \leq \beta d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, a)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \beta \cdot \gamma d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq d(\beta\gamma)^n d(x_0, x_1, a).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $c = \beta\gamma$ , then  $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}^a) c^n d(x_0, x, a)$ , where  $0 \leq c < 1$ .

Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is a cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete 2-metric space,

$\{x_n\}$  converges say to  $z$ . Hence the sequence  $Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$  and  $Bx_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2}$  which are subsequence also converge to point  $z$ .

Since  $B(X) \leq S(X)$ , there exists a point  $u \in X$  st.  $z = Su$ .

Now  $d(Au, z, a) = d(Au, Bx_{2n+1}, a)$

$$\leq a_1 d(Su, Tx_{2n+1}, a) + a_2 d(Au, Su, a) + a_3 d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, a) + a_4 d(Su, Bx_{2n+1}, a) + a_5 d(Au, Tx_{2n+1}, a)$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$ ,  $Bx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$  and putting  $Su = z$ .

$d(Au, z, a) \leq (a_2 + a_5)d(Au, z, a)$ , which is a contradiction.

Hence,  $d(Au, z, a) = 0$  which gives  $Au = z$ .

Thus,  $Su = Au = z$ .

So,  $u$  is the coincidence point of  $A$  and  $S$ . Since the pair of maps  $A$  and  $S$  are commutative,  $ASu = SAu$  i.e.  $Az = Sz$ .

Again since  $A(x) \leq T(x)$ , there exists a point  $v \in X$  s.t.  $z = Tv$ .

Now,  $d(z, \beta v, a) = d(Ax_{2n}, Bv, a)$

$$\leq a_1 d(Sx_{2n}, Tv, a) + a_2 d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, a) + a_3 d(Bv, Tv, a) + a_4 d(Sx_{2n}, Bv, a) + a_5 d(Ax_{2n}, Tv, a)$$

When  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and putting  $Tv = z$ , we have

$d(z, Bv, a) \leq (a_3 + a_4) d(Bv, z, a)$ , which is a contradiction.

Thus,  $d(z, Bv, a) = 0$  which implies  $z = Bv$ .

i.e.  $z = Tv = Bv$ , showing that  $v$  is a coincidence point of  $T$  and  $B$ . As the pair of maps  $B$  and  $T$  are commutative

so that  $TBv = BTv$  i.e.  $Tz = Bz$ .

Now we shall show that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $A$ .

$d(z, Az, a) = d(Az, Bv, a)$

$$\leq a_1 d(Sz, Tv, a) + a_2 d(Az, Sz, a) + a_3 d(Bv, Tv, a) + a_4 d(Sz, Bv, a) + a_5 d(Az, Tv, a)$$

$$= a_1 d(Az, z, a) + a_2 d(Az, Az, a) + a_3 d(z, z, a) + a_4 d(Az, z, a) + a_5 d(Az, z, a)$$

$\therefore d(z, Az, a) \leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(Az, z, a)$  which is not possible.

Therefore,  $d(z, Az, a) = 0$  which gives  $Az = z$  i.e.  $Az = Sz = z$ .

Now we shall show that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $B$ .

$d(z, Bz, a) = d(Az, Bz, a)$

$$\leq a_1 d(Sz, Tz, a) + a_2 d(Az, Sz, a) + a_3 d(Bz, Tz, a) + a_4 d(Sz, Bz, a) + a_5 d(Az, Tz, a)$$

$$= a_1 d(z, Bz, a) + a_2 d(z, z, a) + a_3 d(Bz, Bz, a) + a_4 d(z, Bz, a) + a_5 d(z, Bz, a)$$

or  $d(z, Bz, a) \leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(z, Bz, a)$  which is a contradiction.

Thus,  $d(z, Bz, a) = 0$  which gives  $z = Bz$  i.e.  $z = Bz = Tz$ .

Hence,  $Az = Sz = Bz = Tz = z$ , showing that  $z$  is the common fixed point of  $A, B, S$ , and  $T$ .

Now we shall show that the uniqueness of this fixed point.

Suppose that  $w$  is the other common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

Then we have  $Aw = Sw = BW = Tw = w$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}d(z, w, a) &= d(Az, Bw, a) \\ &= a_1 d(Sz, Tw, a) + a_2 d(Az, Sz, a) + a_3 d(Bw, Tw, a) \\ &\quad + a_4 d(Sz, Bw, a) + a_5 d(Az, Tw, a) \\ &= a_1 d(z, w, a) + a_2 d(z, z, a) + a_3 d(w, w, w) + a_4 d(z, w, a) + a_5 d(z, w, a)\end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $d(z, w, a) \leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(z, w, a)$  which is a contradiction

hence  $d(z, w, a) = 0$  which gives  $z = w$ .

i.e. our supposition is wrong and therefore  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .//

**Remark (3.2):** By putting  $A=T$  and  $S=B$  we get the theorem 1.1. Thus our result generalizes the result of Lal and Singh[3].

### BIBLIOGRAPHY:

- Gahler, S. 1963. "2-metrische Raume and ihre Topologische structure." *Math Nachr.* 26: 115 –148. [1]
- Iseki, K. 1975. "Fixed points theorems in 2-metric Space." *Math.Seminar Notes* 3: 133-136. [2]
- Lal, S.N., and A.K. Singh. 1978. "An analogue of Banach's Contraction principle for 2-metric space." *Bull. Austral.Math.Soc.* 18: 137 – 143. [3]
- Mantu Saha, Debashish Dey, and Anamika Ganguly. 2011. "A generalization of fixed point theorems in 2- metric space." *General Mathematic* 17(1): 87-98.
- Rhoads, B. E. 1979. "Contraction type mappings on a 2-metric space." *Math.Nachr.* 91: 15 –154. [5]
- Singh, M.R., and L.S. Singh. 2010. "Some fixed point theorems in 2-metric space." *International transaction in Mathematical Sciences and Computer* 3(1) H: 121–129. [4]