



# An Anatomical Overview on Deltoid Ligament of the Ankle and its Biomechanics

ABDUL ALEEM KHAN<sup>1</sup> YI QIU JIANG KAI BIN ZHANG TIANQI TAO YANG LI JIAN CHAO GUI<sup>1</sup> Department of Orthopedics Nanjing First Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing Medical University

#### Abstract:

The foot being a complex biomechanical structure has crucial functions to maintain stability and ensure the proper transfer of force through the lower limb. The ankle joint connects the leg and the foot to establish lower limb stability, is an important joint in relation to movement. The ankle joint is usually stabilized by several structures and trauma to the ankle joint is very common. So understanding the anatomy and biomechanics of the ankle is important for choosing treatment approach and preventing nerve injuries, poor healing or dysfunction. One structure of interest is the deltoid ligament complex. also known as the medial stabilizer of the ankle from eversion. Repeated episodes of ankle sprain can cause laxity of lateral dualligament hence leading to chronic ankle instability. The deltoid ligament complex consists of the tibiotalar joint whose function prevents and limits the tilting of the joint. Deltoid ligament complex has six different parts divided into two layers; one superficial layer consisting of four bands and one deep layer consisting of two bands. However, studies have been debating about heterogeneity of the size and prevalence of the deltoid ligament components. Studying and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author: gui997@126.com

understanding the anatomy and biomechanics of the deltoid ligament and its components is very helpful in regards to the guidance of the anatomical placement of repair or the reconstruction in case of ligament injury or instability.

**Key words**: anterior tibiotalar ligaments(ATTL) , deep fibres of the posterior tibiotalar ligament (dPTTL) tibiocalcaneal ligaments(TCL), tibionavicular (TNL), tibiospring (TSL) , tibiotalar ligament (TTL), posterior tibial tendon (PTT), flexor digitorum longus (FDL)

## INTRODUCTION

Due to having an episode or repeated episodes of ankle sprain, this can lead to chronic ankle instability which is an important subset of complication (estimates vary from 0% to 40%)[1,2,3] .in United States, ankle sprain is common with occurrence of over 27,000 cases daily [4]. Among all this, the majority are injuries involving the lateral ankle ligaments and some authors estimated that deltoid ligament of the ankle are involved in about 5% [5]. The commonly seen symptoms in patients are recurrent "giving way" and lateral-sided ankle pain. Severe limitations to patients activities as a result of chronic ankle instability have been implicated as an important cause of ankle osteoarthritis [6,7] in chronic ankle instability. Injuries to the ATFL alone are seen in minority of patients whereas injuries to both the ATFL and CFL are seen in majority of patients [8,9,10,11,12,13].

One study reported [14] in acute ankle sprain that the cervical and interosseous talocalcaneal ligaments have high incidence of injuries but this has not been documented in chronic ankle instability. Weber B type fractures, also called Supination–external rotation (SE) fractures, is known to be the most common ankle fractures and account for as many as 80% of all ankle fractures [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21].

Depending on the stability of the ankle, decision are made whether to use surgical or non-surgical treatment approach and as for the unstable fractures surgical management had better outcome than those with conservative treatment [22, 23, 24, 25, 26,27].\_The ankle is composed of superficial and deep components that form deltoid ligament complex. The superficial fascicles is demonstrated to maintain the primary restraint of tibiotalar valgus angulation whereas on the other hand, deep ligament act to prevent axial rotation of the talus within the mortise.[28,29,30] Regarding superficial deltoid ligament, its components variation have been described in different publication[31,32,33,34,35]

Tibiocalcaneal ligament is known to be a component of the superficial layer nearly by all of the groups. The origination of the distal medial malleolus fascicle inserts upon the sustentaculum tali and it was found by Pankovich and Shivaram that this fascicle was strongest when compared to superficial deltoid. [33].

## Anatomy

Deltoid ligament, in our opinion is composed of six different parts depending on different functional properties; Superficial and anterior are the tibionavicular (TNL), the tibiospring (TSL) and the tibiocalcaneal (TCL) ligaments.[36]. The superior posterior (sPTTl), deep posterior (dPTTl) and anterior tibiotalar (ATTL) ligaments made up the deep layer of the deltoid ligament [37, 38, 39]. There can be differed opinions among individuals as some consider the TNL to be a fibrous thick part of the anterior capsule instead of being another ligament [37]. The ankle joint of the bony anatomy is generally well known as the groove dividing into two colliculi from medial malleolus. The posterior tibial tendon (PTT) and the flexor digitorum longus (FDL) pass on the posterolateral side.[36]

Both colliculi are attached to deltoid ligament proximally and has several insertions distally on to the talus, navicular, and calcaneus and onto the spring ligament. The typical shape and name of the ligaments came to be due to its narrow proximal anchoring and multiple distal attachments. The first division anatomically separates the ligament into superficial and deep layers. [36] At the anterior colliculus superficial fibers originate and cross two joints (talocalcaneal and tibiotalar), as for the deep part which just bridges the tibiotalar joints emerging from between and on the posterior colliculu. Historically, three to six different form of anatomical divisions have been described by the other authors [37, 40, 38, 41, 42, 43].

MRI and dissection in anatomy showed deep fibres of the posterior tibiotalar ligament (dPTTL) to be the thickest ligament and it connects the posterior colliculus to the medial Another component of the deltoid tubercle of the talus. ligament is the tibiospring (TSL) which do not have the two bony attachments. It takes origin from the anterior colliculus and spread out to the planter calcaneonavicular or spring ligament to form a functional unit with it [own paper reff modle]. It is seen to be the second largest of the components on MRI [41]. However, the TCL through anatomical procedures is viewed to be comparable in thickness to the deep fibres of the posterior tibiotalar ligament (dPTTL) [37]. The deep fibres of the posterior tibiotalar ligament (dPTTL) and tibiospring (TSL) were never present when Mengiardi et al. [37, 44] studied the deltoid ligament about the visibility and signal characteristic on MRI of asymptomatic patients.

In only 50% of subjects were the anterior tibiotalar ligaments(ATTL) and tibionavicular (TNL) visible [36].The medial collateral ligament also known as the deltoid ligament is a strong and broad ligament that has a multifascicular appearance spanning out of the medial malleolus to the talus,

calcaneus and navicular bones forming a delta shape to which the name of the deltoid ligament is originated from.

The fibrous sheaths of the posterior tibial tendon and flexor digitorum tendons share a contiguous relation with the components of the ligament making it hard to differentiate. Over the years this has caused confusion in describing the ligament and its composition anatomically [45]. Quoting Barclay-Smith, 'the anatomist is apt to blindly follow the accepted description and methods of dissection, and thereby, often unconsciously, to fashion the structure dissected to the mould of the description [...] and of all structures in the body, the ligaments are the most plastic in this respect' [46] Through literature, it shows that most authors found common ground for a fact that a fad pad divides the deltoid ligament into two layers (superficial and deep) with both of them formed by several components.

Rasmussen, Pankovichand and Shivaram vouched in independent anatomical studies about the anatomy of deltoid ligament with its components still not clear [47, 48, 49]. The description of the deltoid ligament most commonly accepted was proposed by Milner and Soames [36], which was supported later by Boss and Hintermann [50]. They differentiate the ligament into six components which got their name according to their attachments, with four belonging to the superficial and the other two conformed to the deep layer.

## (a)Superficial layer

Four components or fascicles made up the superficial layer and out of these the only two which are seen in all cases are the tibionavicular (TNL), and tibionavicular ligaments(TNL).

It may vary for the other two superficial fascicles, which are the tibiotalar(TTL) and tibiocalceneal ligaments(TCL). The functions of these fibers are to help maintaining the talus and

medial malleolus in alignment and also for the talus to resist external rotation through stress of the tibia and valgus [52].

# (b)Deep layer

Fibers emerging from the tibia towards the talus form the deep layer which consist of two components; the ever present deep posterior tibiotalar ligament (TTL)and the non-constant tibiotalar ligament[36].. The fibers of the ligaments is arranged in such a way to prevent not only lateral displacement but also external rotation of the talus.

## **Biomechanics of the deltoid ligament**

The deltoid ligament is believed to have two functions; firstly to provide medial stability to the tibiotalar joint and secondly to transfer forces between tibia and tarsus [53, 54, 55].

The main function of the deltoid ligament is to hold the tibia firmly above the talus and prevent the talus from moving into a valgus position, moving anterolaterally or rotating externally. Even if the lateral structures are out of place, the intact deltoid ligament do not allow any lateral movement of more than 2mm [56, 57,58,59,60].

The Talus in the mortise is capable to move normally in all three planes and this have various descriptions: with plantar flexion exceeding by 4-5 times or as far as 80% of the dorsiflexion [61,62,63,60]; the talus shows an internal rotation of 1.9 when the foot is at maximum plantar flexion, contrarily it shows an external of 7.2 at maximum dorsiflexion [64]. It is widely argued that the intact ligaments have a symmetrical adduction and abduction range from 5 to some extreme values [65, 66, 60, 67].

Internal and external rotation have been noted to have a range of 14-24 [68,69,60]. Severe instability is noted when the whole ligament is cut off but a good degree of stability still remains when only the superficial part of the deltoid ligament is removed. It was possible for the talus to have just 4-7 external rotation with the remaining deep layer intact [69,60]. Without a medial injury, a complete fibular osteotomy allowed the ankle to have normal motion[64,70]. It is thought that both the anterior tibiotalar ligaments(ATTL) and the anterior talofibular ligament on the lateral side cause restriction in the forward translation of the talus. Some authors said that the anterior tibiotalar ligaments(ATTL) lack independent function with the lateral ligament predominantly limiting plantar flexion [60].

Dehne and Dias [71, 72] mentioned that the deep fibres of the posterior tibiotalar ligament (dPTTL) alone restrict internal rotation of the talus, but they did not do isolated dissection studies of these fibres.

Rasmussen while studying injuries to different ankle ligaments found that the talar movement in any direction was barely affected when both the tibiocalcaneal ligaments(TCL) and anterior tibiotalar ligaments(ATTL) were cut [60]. Both radiological and anatomic studies found common ground in regard to the strength of the different components of the deltoid ligament. The dPTTL seems to be the strongest with the TSL being next and the tibiocalcaneal ligaments(TCL) and tibionavicular (TNL) being the weakest [73, 74, 60, 75]. Moreover the interlacing of the tibiospring (TSL) with tibionavicular (TNL) form the spring ligament complex that medially supports the talar head and provide stability to the whole talocalcaneonavicular joint. According to Hintermann there is a relationship between the medial ankle instability and the looseness of the spring ligament complex [76]. The downside of in-vitro studies by many authors is when non-standardized forces are used to start movement of the isolated structures in the ankle joint. Cautions are to be taken when interpreting results of these biomechanical studies as the ligaments may

have a different behavior in-vivo compared to in-vitro as a cadaver exerts no force[36].

#### CONCLUSION:

The deltoid ligament is a complex structure that needs further studies to better understand the different components and their functions. Understanding the anatomy and biomechanics of the deltoid ligament and its components is useful in regards to the guidance of the anatomical placement of repair or the reconstruction in case of ligament injury or instability.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Ferran, NA; Oliva, F; Maffulli, N: Ankle instability.
Sports MedArthrosc. 17(2):139 - 145, 2009.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JSA.0b013e3 181a3d790

[2] **Karlsson, J; Lansinger, O:** Lateral instability of the ankle joint. Clin Orthop Relat Res. **276**:253-261, 1992.

[3] van Rijn, RM; van Os, AG; Bernsen, RM; et al: What is the clinical course of acute ankle sprains? A systematic literature review. Am J Med. **121(4)**:324 – 331 e326, 2008.

[4] Baumhauer JF, Alosa DM, Renstrom AF et al (1995) A prospective study of ankle injury risk factors. Am J Sports Med 23(5):564–570

[5] Waterman BR, Belmont PJ Jr, Cameron KL, Svoboda SJ, Alitz CJ, Owens BD (2011) Risk factors for syndesmotic and medial ankle sprain: role of sex, sport, and level of competition. Am JSports Med 39(5):992–998

[6] Valderrabano, V; Hintermann, B; Horisberger, M; et
al.: Ligamentous posttraumatic ankle osteoarthritis. Am J
Sports Med.34(4):612 - 620, 2006.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0363546505281813

[7] Valderrabano, V; Horisberger, M; Russell, I; et al.: Etiology of ankle osteoarthritis. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 467(7):1800 - 1806, 2009.<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11999-008-0543-6</u>

[8] Alparslan, L; Chiodo, CP: Lateral ankle instability: MR imaging of associated injuries and surgical treatment procedures. Semin Musculoskelet Radiol. **12(4)**:346 – 358, 2008. http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0028-1100641

[9] Campbell, SE; Warner, M: MR imaging of ankle inversion injuries. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am. 16(1):1 – 18, 2008.<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mric.2008.02.001</u>

[10] Ferkel, RD; Chams, RN: Chronic lateral instability: arthroscopic findings and long-term results. Foot Ankle Int. 28(1):24 – 31, 2007. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3113/FAI.2007.0005</u>

[11] Hintermann, B; Boss, A; Schafer, D: Arthroscopic findings in patients with chronic ankle instability. Am J Sports Med. **30(3):**402 – 409, 2002.

[12] Karlsson, J; Lansinger, O: Lateral instability of the ankle joint. Clin Orthop Relat Res. **276**:253-261, 1992.

[13] **Kirby, AB; Beall, DP; Murphy, MP; et al.:** Magnetic resonance imaging findings of chronic lateral ankle instability. Curr Probl Diagn Radiol. **34(5):**196 – 203, 2005. http://dx.doi.org/10.1067/j.cpradiol.2005.06.003

[14] Tochigi, Y; Yoshinaga, K; Wada, Y; et al.: Acute inversion injury of the ankle: magnetic resonance imaging and clinical outcomes. FootAnkle Int. **19(11):**730 – 734, 1998.

 [15] Court-Brown CM, McBirnie J, Wilson G (1998) Adult ankle fractures—an increasing problem?Acta Orthop Scand 69(1):43– 47

[16]Daly PJ, Fitzgerald RH Jr, Melton LJ, Ilstrup DM (1987) Epidemiology of ankle fractures in Rochester, Minnesota. Acta Orthop Scand 58(5):539–544

[17] Hintermann B, Regazzoni P, Lampert C, Stutz G, Gachter A(2000) Arthroscopic findings in acute fractures of the ankle.

J Bone Jt Surg Br 82:345–351

[18] Jensen SL, Andresen BK, Mencke S, Nielsen PT (1998) Epidemiology of ankle fractures. A prospective populationbased

study of 212 cases in Aalborg, Denmark. Acta Orthop Scand 69(1):48-50

[19] Kristensen KD, Hansen T (1985) Closed treatment of ankle fractures. Stage II supination-eversion fractures followed for 20 years. Acta Orthop Scand 56(2):107–109

[20] Lindsjo<sup>°</sup> U (1985) Operative treatment of ankle fracturedislocations. A follow-up study of 306/321 consecutive cases. ClinOrthop Relat Res 199:28–38

[21] Yde J, Kristensen KD (1980) Ankle fractures: supinationeversion fractures of stage IV. Primary and late results of operative

and non-operative treatment. Acta Orthop Scand 51:981–990

[22] Bauer M, Bergstro<sup>--</sup>m B, Hemborg A, Sandega<sup>o</sup>rd J (1985) Malleolar fractures: nonoperative versus operative treatment. A controlled study. Clin Orthop Relat Res 199:17–27

[23] Bauer M, Johnson K, Nilsson B et al (1985) Thirty-year followup of ankle fractures. Acta Orthop Scand 56:103–106

[24] Beris AE, Kabbani KT, Xenakis TA, Mitsionis G, Soucacos PK, Soucacos PN (1997) Surgical treatment of malleolar fractures.Clin Orthop Rel Res 341:90–98

[25] Burwell HN, Charnley AD (1965) The Treatment of displaced fractures at the ankle by rigid internal fixation and early joint movement. J Bone Jt Surg Br 47-B:634–660

[26] Makwana NK, Bhowal B, Harper WM, Hui AW (2001) Conservative versus operative treatment for displaced ankle fractures in patients over 55 years of age. A prospective, randomized study. J Bone Jt Surg Br 83:525–529

[27] Phillips WA, Schwartz HS, Keller CS, Woodward HR, Rudd WS, Spiegel PG, Laros GS (1985) A prospective, randomized

study of the management of severe ankle fractures. J Bone Jt Surg 67:67–78

[28] **Rasmussen, O:** Stability of the ankle joint. Analysis of the function and traumatology of the ankle ligaments. Acta Orthop Scand Suppl. 211:1 - 75, 1985.

[29] **Rasmussen, O; Kromann-Andersen, C:** Experimental ankle injuries. Analysis of the traumatology of the ankle ligaments. Acta Orthop Scand. **54**:356 – 362, 1983. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17453678308996584

[30] Rasmussen, O; Kromann-Andersen, C; Boe, S: Deltoid ligament. Functional analysis of the medial collateral ligamentous apparatus of the ankle joint. Acta Orthop Scand. 54:36 – 44, 1983. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17453678308992867

[31]**Boss, AP; Hintermann, B:** Anatomical study of the medial ankle ligament complex. Foot Ankle Int. **23**:547 – 553, 2002.

[32]**Harper, MC:** Deltoid ligament: an anatomical evaluation of function.Foot Ankle. **8**:19 – 22, 1987.

[33] **Pankovich, AM; Shivaram, MS:** Anatomical basis of variability in injuries of the medial malleolus and the deltoid ligament. I. Anatomical studies. Acta Orthop Scand. **50**:217 – 223, 1979. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17453677908989759

[34] **Rasmussen, O; Kromann-Andersen, C; Boe, S:** Deltoid ligament. Functional analysis of the medial collateral ligamentous apparatus of the ankle joint. Acta Orthop Scand. **54**:36 – 44, 1983. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17453678308992867

[35] **Siegler, S; Block, J; Schneck, CD:** The mechanical characteristics of the collateral ligaments of the human ankle joint. Foot Ankle.8:234 – 242, 1988.

[36]Stufkens SA, van den Bekerom MP, Knupp M, HintermannB,vanDijkCN.The diagnosis and treatment of deltoid ligament lesions insupination-external rotation anklefractures: a review.StrategiesTrauma Limb Reconstr. 2012 Aug;7(2):73-85. doi:10.1007/s11751-012-0140-9. Epub 2012 Jul 6. PMID:22767333

[37] Boss AP, Hintermann B (2002) Anatomical study of the medial ankle ligament complex. Foot Ankle Int 23:547–553v

[38] Harper MC (1987) Deltoid ligament: an anatomic evaluation of function. Foot Ankle 8:19–22

[39] Milner CE, Soames RW (1998) The medial collateral ligaments of the human ankle joint: anatomical variations. Foot Ankle Int19:289–292

[40] Close JR (1956) Some applications of the functional anatomy of the ankle joint. J Bone Jt Surg Am 38:761–781

[41] Klein MA (1994) MR imaging of the ankle: normal and abnormal findings in the medial collateral ligament. Am J Roentgenol 162(2):377–383

[42] Pankovich AM, Shivaram MS (1979) Anatomical basis of variability in injuries of the medial malleolus and the deltoid ligament.I. Anatomical studies. Acta Orthop Scand 50:217–223

[43] Schneck CD, Mesgarzadeh M, Bonakdarpour A, Ross GJ (1992)MR imaging of the most commonly injured ankle ligaments. Part I. Normal anatomy. Radiology 184(2):499–506

[44] Mengiardi B, Pfirrmann CWA, Vienne P, Hodler J, Zanetti M(2007) Medial collateral ligament complex of the ankle: MR appearance in asymptomatic subjects. Radiology 242(3):817–824

[45] Golano' P, Vega J, de Leeuw PAJ, Malagelada F, Manzanares MC, Go"tzens V, van Dijk CN (2010) Anatomy of the ankle ligaments:a pictorial essay. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 18(5):557–569

[46] Anderson LD (1971) Fractures. In: Crenshaw AH (ed) Campbell's operative orthopaedics, 5th edn. Mosby, St. Louis, p 556

[47] Boss AP, Hintermann B (2002) Anatomical study of the medial ankle ligament complex. Foot Ankle Int 23:547–553

[48] Gruber G, Nebe M, Bachman G et al (1998) Ultrasonography as a diagnostic measure in the rupture of fibular ligaments. Comparative study: sonography versus radiological investigations. Rofo Fortschr Geb Roentgenstr Neuen Bildgeb Verfahr 169:152

[49]Hartford JM, Gorczyca JT, McNamara JL, Mayor MB (1995) Tibiotalar contact area: contribution of posterior malleolus and deltoid ligament. Clin Orthop Relat Res 320:182– 187

[50] Bauer M, Johnell O, Redlund-Johnell I, Johnsson K (1987) Ankle fractures. Foot Ankle 8:23–25

[51] Egol KA, Amirtharajah M, Tejwani NC, Capla EL, Koval KJ (2004) Ankle stress test for predicting the need for surgical

fixation of isolated fibular fractures. J Bone Jt Surg Am86(11):2393-2398

[52] Baird RA, Jackson ST (1987) Fractures of the distal part of the fibula with associated disruption of the deltoid ligament.

Treatment without repair of the deltoid ligament. J Bone Jt SurgAm 69(9):1346–1352

[53] Hartford JM, Gorczyca JT, McNamara JL, Mayor MB (1995) Tibiotalar contact area: contribution of posterior malleolus and deltoid ligament. Clin Orthop Relat Res 320:182– 187

[54] Hintermann B, Sommer C, Nigg BM (1995) Influence of ligament transection on tibial and calcaneal rotation with loading and dorsi-plantarflexion. Foot Ankle Int 16(9):567–571

[55] Sasse M, Nigg BM, Stefanyshyn DJ (1999) Tibiotalar motion—effect of fibular displacement and deltoid ligament transaction:in vitro study. Foot Ankle Int 20(11):733–737

[56]Close JR (1956) Some applications of the functional anatomy of the ankle joint. J Bone Jt Surg Am 38:761–781

[57] Grath G (1960) Widening of the ankle mortise. A clinical and experimental study. Acta Orthop Scand 263:1–88

[58] Lauge-Hansen N (1950) Fractures of the ankle II: combined experimental-surgical and experimentalroengenologic investigations.Arch Surg 60:957–985 [59] Pankovich AM, Shivaram MS (1979) Anatomical basis of variability in injuries of the medial malleolus and the deltoid ligament. I. Anatomical studies. Acta Orthop Scand 50:217–223
[60] Rasmussen O (1985) Stability of the ankle joint: analysis

of the function and traumatology of the ankle ligaments. Acta OrthopScand 56:1–75

[61] Boone DC, Azen SP, Chun-Mei L, Spence C, Baron C, Lee L(1979) Normal range of motion in male subjects. J Bone JtSurgAm 61-A:756-759

[62]Heck CV, Hendryson IE, Rowe CR (eds) (1965) Joint motion—method of measuring and recording: American academy of orthopaedic surgeons. Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh

[63] Laurin CA, Mathieu J (1975) Sagittal mobility of the normalankle. Clin Orthop 108:99–104

[64] Michelson JD, Ahn UM, Helgemo SL (1996) Motion of theankle in a simulated supination-external rotation fracture model.J Bone Jt Surg 78:1024–1031

[65] Bonnin JG (1950) Injuries to the ankle. William HeinemannMedical Books Ltd, London

[66] Laurin CA, Quellet R, St-Jacques R (1968) Talar and subtalartilt: an experimental investigation. Can J Surg 11:270–279

[67] Rubin G, Witten M (1960) The talar–tilt angle and the fibular collateral ligaments. J Bone Jt Surg Am 42:311–326

[68] Johnson EE, Markolf KL, Sakai DN, Dunn JP (1981) Contribution of the anterior talo-fibular ligament to ankle stability.

J Bone Jt Surg 5:214–215

[69] McCullough CJ, Burge PD (1980) Rotatory instability of theload-bearing ankle. J Bone Jt Surg Br 62-B:460–464

[70] Richter J, Schulze W, Clasbrummel B, Muhr G (2003) Beitrag der Syndesmosen und des Deltabandes zur Stabilita<sup>-</sup>t der Aussenkno<sup>°</sup>chelfraktur Typ Weber B. Eine Experimentelle Untersuchung Unfallchirurg 106:359–366

[71] Dehne E (1934) Die Klinik der frischen und habituellen Adduktionssupinations-distorsion des Fusses. Deutsch Zschr 242:40–61

[72] Dias LS (1979) The lateral ankle sprain: an experimental study. J Trauma 19:266–269

[73] Klein MA (1994) MR imaging of the ankle: normal and abnormal findings in the medial collateral ligament. Am J Roentgenol 162(2):377-383

[74] Pankovich AM, Shivaram MS (1979) Anatomical basis of variability in injuries of the medial malleolus and the deltoid ligament.I. Anatomical studies. Acta Orthop Scand 50:217–223

[75] Siegler S, Block J, Schneck CD (1988) The mechanical characteristics of the collateral ligaments of the human ankle joint.Foot Ankle 8(5):234-24

[76] Hintermann B (1999) Biomechanics of the unstable ankle joint and clinical implications. Med Sci Sports Exerc 31:459– 469