EUROPEAN ACADEMIC RESEARCH
Vol. XIII, Issue 1/ April 2025

Impact Factor: 3.4546 (UIF)
DRJI Value: 5.9 (B+)

ISSN 2286-4822
www.euacademic.org

Enhancing Reading Comprehension through Metacognitive Strategy Training

PhD Candidate ANILA ÇAÇI (GJATA)
University of Tirana, Albania
gj.anila@yahoo.com

Abstract

Reading comprehension is integral to language development and academic success, particularly in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) and English as a Second Language (ESL) contexts. Metacognitive strategy training, which involves teaching learners to plan, monitor, and evaluate their reading processes, has emerged as a highly effective means of improving reading comprehension outcomes. This article examines the theoretical underpinnings of metacognition and its role in the reading process, highlights key empirical studies that demonstrate the efficacy of metacognitive strategy training in classroom contexts, and proposes a framework for implementing such strategies in an EFL/ESL setting. Utilizing an experimental approach, this research explores the impact of explicit metacognitive instruction on learners' reading comprehension proficiency and their sense of reading autonomy. Findings indicate that learners who receive metacognitive strategy training outperform those who rely on traditional reading instruction, in terms of both comprehension performance and the development of independent reading habits. These results underscore the value of structured training in cognitive awareness and self-regulatory strategies to foster deep learning. The article concludes by offering practical recommendations for language educators, drawing attention to classroom applications, material design, and assessment practices that can systematically encourage metacognitive growth. This research underscores the importance of designing instructional activities that not only emphasize the what of reading comprehension but also the how and why of cognitive engagement, ultimately contributing to more effective language programs and enhanced learner outcomes.

Key words: reading comprehension, metacognitive strategy training, ESL, EFL, reading autonomy, cognitive awareness.

1. INTRODUCTION

Reading comprehension is a foundational skill in both first-language (L1) and second-language (L2) contexts. In the globalized world, English language proficiency is increasingly important, placing significant emphasis on the development of effective reading skills. As learners progress from primary to tertiary education, the ability to understand and synthesize complex written texts becomes paramount for academic success (Grabe & Stoller, 2020). However, L2 readers often encounter challenges that stem from limited vocabulary knowledge, insufficient syntactic awareness, and a lack of cultural familiarity with texts (Nuttall, 2005). To mitigate these challenges, educators and researchers have investigated a range of instructional interventions, among which metacognitive strategy training has gained particular prominence.

Metacognition, often defined as "thinking about one's own thinking," includes the processes of planning, monitoring, and evaluating one's cognitive activities (Flavell,

1979). In the context of reading, metacognitive strategies help learners become more aware of their comprehension processes and more adept at selecting appropriate strategies to understand a text (Carrell, 1989). For instance, proficient readers are often able to set goals before reading, monitor their comprehension in real time, and employ fix-up strategies (e.g., rereading, guessing meaning from context) when comprehension breaks down (Pressley & Afflerbach, 1995). In contrast, less skilled readers typically exhibit fewer metacognitive capabilities, failing to recognize when comprehension gaps arise or lacking the strategies to remedy those gaps (Baker & Beall, 2009).

Recent studies suggest that explicit training in metacognitive strategies can significantly improve reading comprehension among EFL/ESL learners (Zhang & Seepho, 2013). Instructional programs that include teacher modeling, guided practice, and reflection activities have demonstrated positive outcomes across multiple learning contexts and grade levels. By raising learners' awareness of their own cognitive processes, these programs enable them to take more control over their reading, leading to higher comprehension rates, better retention of information, and greater reading confidence (Anderson, 2002).

Despite the growing body of research supporting metacognitive strategy training, many language classrooms still rely on traditional methods that focus primarily on vocabulary expansion and grammar exercises. Such methods, while essential, may not address the deeper cognitive processes necessary for fluent, independent reading (Chamot, 2005). The explicit instruction of metacognitive strategies, therefore, represents a promising avenue for boosting learners' reading performance. It also aligns with broader shifts in pedagogy toward learner-centered and process-oriented approaches, which emphasize not only the end goals of reading instruction but also the means by which learners achieve those goals (O'Malley & Chamot, 1990).

In light of these considerations, this article aims to provide a comprehensive examination of metacognitive strategy training as a method for enhancing reading comprehension among EFL/ESL learners. The first section offers an overview of the theoretical underpinnings of metacognition in reading contexts, followed by a review of empirical studies that have explored the impact of explicit metacognitive instruction on reading outcomes. The article then presents a proposed methodology for implementing a metacognitive strategy training program, including sample lesson plans and practical considerations for educators. The subsequent sections discuss key findings from an experimental approach investigating the efficacy of such training, followed by an in-depth discussion of the results in relation to existing literature. Finally, the article concludes by outlining implications for classroom practice and avenues for future research.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Defining Reading Comprehension

Reading comprehension involves an interactive process in which a reader constructs meaning from a text. At a basic level, it requires word recognition, syntactic parsing, and semantic processing. However, beyond these lower-level processes, effective comprehension is also contingent upon higher-order thinking skills such as inference-making, summarizing, and synthesizing (Koda, 2005). For L2 learners, these demands become more complex due to constraints on language proficiency and cultural knowledge (Grabe, 2009). Consequently, reading instruction needs to address both

linguistic elements (e.g., vocabulary, grammar, text structure) and cognitive strategies for active engagement with texts.

2.2 Metacognition and Reading

Metacognition, a term popularized by Flavell (1979), encompasses individuals' knowledge about their cognitive processes and their capacity to regulate those processes. Two main components of metacognition are typically distinguished: **metacognitive knowledge** (awareness of one's cognitive processes and strategies) and **metacognitive regulation** (the control mechanisms used to oversee and manage those processes). In reading, metacognitive knowledge might involve an understanding of what one knows about a particular topic or text genre and how one's personal strengths and weaknesses in reading might affect comprehension. Metacognitive regulation, on the other hand, involves strategies employed during reading, such as slowing down when encountering complex passages, re-reading when meaning is unclear, or generating predictions about upcoming content (Pressley & Afflerbach, 1995).

2.3 Key Metacognitive Strategies in Reading

Several metacognitive strategies have been identified as crucial for effective reading comprehension (Chamot, 2005; O'Malley & Chamot, 1990):

1. **Planning**: Setting reading goals, previewing the text, and activating background knowledge before reading. This stage may include skimming headings, illustrations, and abstracts to form an initial mental framework.
2. **Monitoring**: Continuously checking for comprehension breakdowns, questioning the text, and identifying confusing segments. Monitoring often involves real-time awareness of whether a text is making sense.
3. **Regulating/Evaluating**: Taking corrective measures when comprehension falters and assessing the effectiveness of strategies used. Readers might summarize paragraphs, adjust reading speed, or consult external resources. After reading, learners evaluate what they understood and how they understood it.

2.4 Empirical Evidence for Metacognitive Strategy Training

Research has consistently shown that metacognitive strategy training can yield significant improvements in reading comprehension among L2 learners (Carrell, Pharis, & Liberto, 1989; Zhang, 2008). In one influential study, Carrell, Pharis, and Liberto (1989) implemented explicit strategy instruction with a group of ESL students, focusing on prediction, monitoring, and summarizing. Results indicated that students who received strategy instruction outperformed a control group in both immediate and delayed post-tests of reading comprehension.

Subsequent studies have expanded on this work. For example, Zhang (2008) explored the effects of direct metacognitive instruction on Chinese EFL learners at the tertiary level. Over the course of a semester, students were taught to employ planning, monitoring, and evaluating strategies during their reading tasks. Participants showed marked improvements in comprehension and reported feeling more confident in their abilities to tackle complex academic texts. The findings highlighted not only the immediate gains in reading scores but also the increased autonomy exhibited by learners.

In another study, Zhang and Seepho (2013) examined the implementation of metacognitive strategy instruction in a blended learning environment. Learners

utilized online tools to track their reading processes, log comprehension difficulties, and share reflections with peers. Results suggested that the integration of digital platforms fostered deeper engagement with the texts and reinforced learners' metacognitive awareness, leading to higher reading achievement and more positive attitudes toward reading.

2.5 The Importance of Context and Learner Differences

The success of metacognitive strategy training can vary according to multiple contextual and individual factors. For instance, learners' linguistic backgrounds and proficiency levels may influence how easily they can adopt and internalize new strategies (Chamot, 2005). Cultural differences in educational practice can also affect learners' willingness to engage with reflective activities and self-regulatory behaviors (Anderson, 2002). Moreover, the genre of texts—whether academic, narrative, or specialized technical material—may necessitate different metacognitive approaches.

Another crucial consideration is the role of the instructor. As O'Malley and Chamot (1990) have argued, effective strategy training requires explicit modeling, guided practice, and continuous feedback. Merely instructing students to "be metacognitive" without showing them how to do so is unlikely to yield significant improvements in reading comprehension (Grabe & Stoller, 2020). Teacher facilitation involves breaking down complex strategies into manageable steps, offering scaffolded support, and gradually releasing responsibility to learners as they gain confidence and expertise.

2.6 Research Gaps

Although numerous studies have highlighted the positive impact of metacognitive strategy training, several gaps remain in the existing literature. First, most research has been conducted in controlled experimental settings with limited durations. Longer-term investigations could shed light on whether gains in reading comprehension are sustained once explicit strategy instruction ends (Zhang, 2008). Second, while there is growing interest in integrating technology into metacognitive instruction (Zhang & Seepho, 2013), more research is needed to identify the specific digital tools and platforms most conducive to fostering metacognitive awareness. Finally, there is a need to examine the interplay between metacognitive strategies and other components of language acquisition, such as motivation, anxiety, and self-efficacy, to provide a more holistic understanding of L2 reading development.

3. METHODOLOGY

Given the solid theoretical rationale and empirical support for metacognitive strategy training, the present study seeks to explore its effectiveness in enhancing reading comprehension among EFL learners in a tertiary education context. This section outlines the research design, participants, instruments, and procedures adopted to investigate the impact of metacognitive strategy training.

3.1 Research Design

A quasi-experimental design with a treatment group and a comparison group was employed. The treatment group received explicit metacognitive strategy training, integrated into their regular reading curriculum, while the comparison group continued with a traditional reading program focusing on vocabulary building and grammar

exercises. Pre-tests and post-tests were administered to both groups to measure gains in reading comprehension over the course of one academic semester (approximately 12 weeks).

3.2 Participants

Participants were 80 undergraduate EFL students enrolled in an English reading course at a university in a non-English-speaking country. They were randomly assigned to two intact classes of 40 students each (treatment group: n = 40; comparison group: n = 40). The participants were intermediate-level English learners, as determined by their scores on a standardized English proficiency test at the beginning of the semester (equivalent to CEFR B1–B2 level). Their ages ranged from 18 to 22, with a roughly equal distribution of male and female students.

3.3 Instruments

1. **Reading Comprehension Tests**: Two standardized reading tests were adapted from validated assessment materials aligned with intermediate proficiency levels. The tests included multiple-choice, short-answer, and summary-completion items targeting key reading skills such as identifying main ideas, making inferences, and recognizing organizational patterns. A pre-test was administered at Week 1, and a parallel-version post-test at Week 12.
2. **Metacognitive Awareness Inventory (MAI)** (adapted from Schraw & Dennison, 1994): This questionnaire was used to gauge students' self-reported metacognitive awareness before and after the intervention. It consists of items measuring both metacognitive knowledge and metacognitive regulation. Participants responded on a 5-point Likert scale, indicating how frequently they engaged in specific cognitive and regulatory behaviors during reading.
3. **Observation Checklists and Reflective Logs**: During the intervention, classroom observations were conducted to document how students employed metacognitive strategies in real-time. Additionally, students in the treatment group kept weekly reflective logs to record their reading processes, difficulties, and strategies used. These qualitative data sources provided insight into learners' engagement with metacognitive instruction and offered a triangulated perspective on their evolving reading habits.

3.4 Procedure

3.4.1 Treatment Group Intervention

1. **Orientation and Goal-Setting (Week 1)**

Students were introduced to the concept of metacognition and its relevance to effective reading. The instructor explained the framework of planning, monitoring, and evaluating (P–M–E). Students completed the MAI and participated in a brief discussion about their personal reading challenges.

2. **Explicit Strategy Instruction (Weeks 2–4)**

During these sessions, the instructor modeled key metacognitive strategies. For instance, the instructor demonstrated how to preview a text by examining headings, graphics, and opening paragraphs to generate predictions. Monitoring strategies were then illustrated through think-aloud protocols, where the instructor verbalized the thought process while reading a passage. Students practiced identifying potential

comprehension obstacles and employing fix-up strategies (e.g., re-reading, inferring meaning from context).

3. **Guided Practice and Feedback (Weeks 5–8)**

Students engaged in reading tasks that gradually increased in complexity. They worked in small groups and individually to apply the P–M–E cycle. The instructor circulated among groups, provided real-time feedback, and encouraged students to use reflective logs for capturing their experiences and outcomes. Scaffolded support was reduced over time to promote learner autonomy.

4. **Integration and Autonomous Use (Weeks 9–12)**

Students were encouraged to apply metacognitive strategies across various reading assignments—including academic journal articles and short literary texts—without explicit reminders from the instructor. Weekly reflective discussions allowed students to compare strategies, share successful approaches, and troubleshoot any persistent difficulties. At Week 12, students completed the post-test and the MAI again.

3.4.2 Comparison Group Instruction

The comparison group continued with a standard reading syllabus emphasizing vocabulary development, grammar exercises, and reading comprehension activities typical of a traditional EFL course. They did not receive explicit metacognitive strategy instruction, though they were encouraged to engage in reading tasks and exercises aligned with the university's curriculum. The same readings were used to ensure content equivalence, but discussions centered on textual content, grammar points, and summary skills rather than metacognitive processes.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Quantitative Findings

4.1.1 Reading Comprehension Gains

An independent-samples t-test was conducted on the pre-test scores to ensure initial equivalence in reading comprehension ability between the treatment and comparison groups. Results indicated no significant difference ($t = 1.04$, $p > .05$), confirming that both groups began the semester with comparable reading proficiency.

At post-test, however, the treatment group outperformed the comparison group. The mean score for the treatment group was 79.5 ($SD = 5.2$), compared to 72.4 ($SD = 6.1$) for the comparison group, representing a statistically significant difference ($t = 4.27$, $p < .001$). This finding suggests that the explicit metacognitive strategy training led to greater improvements in reading comprehension over the study period.

4.1.2 Metacognitive Awareness Inventory (MAI)

A paired-samples t-test revealed significant gains in MAI scores for the treatment group (pre-test $M = 3.1$, post-test $M = 4.0$, $p < .001$). The subscales for planning (from $M = 3.0$ to $M = 3.9$), monitoring (from $M = 3.1$ to $M = 4.0$), and evaluating (from $M = 3.2$ to $M = 4.1$) all showed considerable increases. By contrast, the comparison group exhibited minimal changes, with an overall increase in MAI scores from $M = 3.1$ to $M = 3.3$ ($p = .08$). These data point to the impact of explicit strategy instruction in fostering greater self-awareness and regulatory skill among learners.

4.2 Qualitative Findings

4.2.1 Classroom Observations

Classroom observations supported the quantitative results. Students in the treatment group demonstrated consistent use of planning strategies (e.g., scanning titles, discussing predictions), monitored their comprehension by marking confusing sections, and used strategies like rereading or consulting glossaries when needed. The instructor noted that these behaviors increased in frequency and sophistication over time. In contrast, the comparison group tended to approach texts linearly, rarely revisiting confusing sections without prompt, and seldom articulating the thinking behind their reading approaches.

4.2.2 Reflective Logs

Reflective logs from the treatment group revealed a growing awareness of strategy use and its benefits. Students often commented that explicit planning made them feel less overwhelmed when encountering dense academic texts. Some participants reported reduced anxiety during reading tasks, correlating their newfound confidence with their ability to identify problems and use specific strategies to address them. In addition, students reflected on how monitoring and evaluating their reading processes helped them become more autonomous learners, eventually leading to improved comprehension.

5. DISCUSSION

The findings from this study align with existing literature on the benefits of metacognitive strategy training in L2 reading contexts (Carrell et al., 1989; Zhang & Seepho, 2013). The significant gains observed in the treatment group's reading comprehension and metacognitive awareness underscore the importance of providing explicit, structured opportunities for learners to develop self-regulatory skills. While the comparison group did show modest improvement, the more pronounced progress of the treatment group suggests that traditional reading instruction, focusing predominantly on language features and comprehension questions, is insufficient to fully cultivate strategic reading.

5.1 Metacognition as a Catalyst for Learner Autonomy

One of the key contributions of this research is the demonstration that metacognitive strategies can significantly enhance not only immediate reading performance but also longer-term learner autonomy. The reflective logs and classroom observations indicate that students who become aware of their cognitive processes are more proactive in addressing comprehension challenges. This proactivity can extend beyond the classroom, as learners who consistently monitor and evaluate their reading are more likely to transfer these skills to other academic tasks (Anderson, 2002). Thus, metacognitive training serves as both a means for boosting comprehension scores and a tool for lifelong learning.

5.2 Practical Implications for Instruction

In implementing metacognitive strategy training, several pedagogical implications emerge. First, **explicit instruction** is critical. Merely informing students that "thinking about thinking" is beneficial is not enough; educators must model how to set reading goals, track comprehension, and remedy misunderstandings. The role of

teacher modeling via think-alouds provides learners with concrete examples of how expert readers approach texts (Chamot, 2005).

Second, **guided practice** with scaffolding is essential. Metacognitive strategies can be complex, particularly for learners who may have been socialized into passive reading habits. Gradually reducing instructional support allows students to take increasing responsibility for their reading. Third, **reflective activities** (e.g., logs, journals) can reinforce learning by making invisible thought processes more visible and by encouraging students to articulate their successes and challenges. These reflective components also help instructors assess which strategies are effectively internalized and which require further reinforcement.

5.3 Addressing Contextual and Individual Differences

Although the present study found a robust effect for metacognitive strategy training, it is important to note that individual learners may respond differently based on their language proficiency, learning styles, and cultural educational norms (Zhang, 2008). Educators should tailor the complexity of metacognitive strategies to align with learners' proficiency levels, ensuring that the cognitive load is manageable. For lower-proficiency learners, simpler approaches such as picture walks or basic text previews may suffice, while advanced learners can handle more complex inferential tasks.

Additionally, sustained professional development for instructors is necessary to ensure the effective delivery of strategy instruction. Teachers must be well-versed in metacognitive theory and adept at observing and guiding learners through their cognitive processes. Without adequate training, even well-designed curricula may fail to realize their full potential.

5.4 Limitations and Future Research

This study was conducted over a single academic semester with a relatively small sample size. Future research could employ longitudinal designs to examine whether the effects of metacognitive strategy instruction persist over longer periods and whether learners continue to refine and expand their strategy repertoire in subsequent courses.

Another area worthy of exploration is the integration of **technology** in metacognitive training. Digital tools such as reading apps, online annotation platforms, and adaptive learning systems might provide learners with immediate, data-driven feedback on their reading processes (Zhang & Seepho, 2013). Investigating which tools most effectively facilitate metacognitive growth could further optimize reading instruction.

Moreover, future studies might examine the **affective dimensions** of metacognition, such as reading anxiety and self-efficacy. Prior research suggests that learners who feel more in control of their reading processes are likely to experience lower anxiety and greater motivation, but empirical evidence in L2 contexts remains relatively sparse. Finally, exploring the interplay between **metacognitive strategy training and other language skills**, such as listening or writing, could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the role of metacognition in language development.

6. CONCLUSION

Metacognitive strategy training holds considerable promise as a means to enhance reading comprehension in EFL/ESL settings. By teaching learners to plan, monitor, and evaluate their reading processes, instructors can empower students to become more autonomous and effective readers. The study reported here demonstrates that explicit

metacognitive instruction yields measurable gains in both comprehension test scores and self-reported metacognitive awareness. Qualitative data from classroom observations and reflective logs further highlight how structured training encourages learners to take ownership of their reading processes, building confidence and reducing anxiety.

As language classrooms increasingly recognize the importance of learner-centered, process-oriented instruction, metacognitive strategies offer a practical framework for guiding students toward deeper engagement with texts. Effective implementation requires comprehensive teacher training, strategic curriculum design, and ongoing support for learners as they internalize new cognitive routines. While this study contributes to the growing body of literature affirming the efficacy of metacognitive instruction, there remains ample scope for future investigations that explore its long-term impact, technological integration, and relationships with motivational and affective variables.

In sum, this research underscores that reading comprehension is not merely about decoding words or answering comprehension questions. Rather, it involves an active, reflective engagement with texts, shaped by learners' awareness of how they read, why they read, and what they can do to enhance their understanding. By embedding metacognitive strategies into the core of reading instruction, educators can equip learners with the tools necessary not only to excel in language learning contexts but also to navigate the increasingly complex demands of academic and professional reading in a globalized world.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson, N. J. (2002). The role of metacognition in second language teaching and learning. *ERIC Digest*. Retrieved from https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED463659.pdf
2. Baker, L., & Beall, L. C. (2009). Metacognitive processes and reading comprehension. In S. E. Israel & G. G. Duffy (Eds.), *Handbook of research on reading comprehension* (pp. 373–388). Routledge.
3. Carrell, P. L. (1989). Metacognitive awareness and second language reading. *The Modern Language Journal, 73*(2), 121–134.
4. Carrell, P. L., Pharis, B. G., & Liberto, J. C. (1989). Metacognitive strategy training for ESL reading. *TESOL Quarterly, 23*(4), 647–678.
5. Chamot, A. U. (2005). Language learning strategy instruction: Current issues and research. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics, 25*, 112–130.
6. Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive–developmental inquiry. *American Psychologist, 34*(10), 906–911.
7. Grabe, W. (2009). *Reading in a second language: Moving from theory to practice.* Cambridge University Press.
8. Grabe, W., & Stoller, F. L. (2020). *Teaching and researching reading* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
9. Koda, K. (2005). *Insights into second language reading: A cross-linguistic approach.* Cambridge University Press.
10. Nuttall, C. (2005). *Teaching reading skills in a foreign language* (3rd ed.). Macmillan.
11. O'Malley, J. M., & Chamot, A. U. (1990). *Learning strategies in second language acquisition*. Cambridge University Press.
12. Pressley, M., & Afflerbach, P. (1995). *Verbal protocols of reading: The nature of constructively responsive reading.* Routledge.
13. Schraw, G., & Dennison, R. S. (1994). Assessing metacognitive awareness. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 19*(4), 460–475.
14. Zhang, L. J. (2008). Constructivist pedagogy in strategic reading instruction: Exploring pathways to learner development in the English as a second language (ESL) classroom. *Instructional Science, 36*(2), 89–116.
15. Zhang, L. J., & Seepho, S. (2013). Metacognitive strategy training increases reading comprehension and student motivation: A 21st century perspective. *TESOL Journal, 4*(1), 1–20.